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## Asymptotically simple solutions

## Asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes

Future asymptotically simple solutions to Einstein equations:

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=\Omega^{-2} \hat{g}_{\mu \nu}, \quad G_{\mu \nu}[g]=\Lambda g_{\mu \nu}, \quad \Lambda>0
$$

and $\Omega=0$ at a Cauchy surface of $\hat{g}_{\mu \nu}$ (Penrose compactification).

## Goal

Classify such solutions, application to stability of de Sitter and similar spacetimes (long time behaviour of solutions).

Einstein's equations written in terms of $\hat{g}_{\mu \nu}$ are singular at $\Omega=0$ surface and we cannot impose easily initial conditions nor say something about dynamics.

## Conformal method of Friedrich

## Friedrich's approach

Find set of equations which
(1) are more general i.e. every solution to Einstein's equation is also a solution to these equations,
(2) transform nicely under conformal transformations,
(3) the system is hyperbolic (after imposing suitable gauge),

- the scale factor $\Omega$ and properties of being conformal to Einsteinian metric propagate by hyperbolic equation too.

Stability follows from stability of hyperbolic equations.
Friedrich's solution invented for $3+1$.

## Anderson's proposition (dimension $d$ even)

Vanishing of Fefferman-Graham obstruction tensor $H_{\mu \nu}$ follows from Einstein's equations

$$
G_{\mu \nu}=\Lambda g_{\mu \nu} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H_{\mu \nu}=0
$$

## Anderson's version, any even dimension $d \geq 4$

Use equation $H_{\mu \nu}=0$. Nice conformal transformations and

$$
H_{\mu}^{\mu}=0, \quad \nabla^{\mu} H_{\mu \nu}=0, \quad \text { Lagrangean formulation }
$$

Complicated high order tensor.

## Question

Is this equation well-posed (after fixing gauge)?
In $d=4$ proved by Guenther '70. Proofs in higher dimensions nontrivial (Anderson, Anderson-Chruściel).

## Choquet-Bruhat's method for Einstein's equations

(1) Gauge freedom (diffeomorphisms): gauge fixing needed.
(2) Constraints on the initial data surface $G_{\mu \nu} n^{\mu}=0$.

We decompose Ricci tensor into gauge fixed part $E_{\mu \nu}$ and the rest

$$
R_{\mu \nu}=E_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\mu} F_{\nu}+\nabla_{\nu} F_{\mu}\right), \quad E_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{2} \square g_{\mu \nu}+\ldots
$$

$\square=g^{\mu \nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}$ and harmonic gauge $F_{\mu}=g^{\xi \chi}\left(\partial_{\xi} g_{\chi \mu}-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} g_{\xi \chi}\right)=\square x_{\mu}$. Bianchi identities

$$
0=\nabla^{\mu} G_{\mu \nu}=\nabla^{\mu}\left(E_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} E\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2} \square+\ldots\right) F_{\nu}
$$

so $E_{\mu \nu}=0$ implies $F_{\nu}=0$ if it holds on $\Sigma$ (this due to constraints).

## Quasi-linear wave equation is well-posed

Equation of the form $\square_{g(u)} u+F\left(D^{1} u\right)=0$ is well-posed (existence and uniqueness of the local development, propagation with a speed of light, continuity in some finite time).

## Anderson's proposition

Similar Bianchi identity $\nabla^{\mu} H_{\mu \nu}=0$
(1) Gauge freedom: diffeomorphisms and conformal transformations

$$
F_{\mu}=\square x_{\mu}=0, \quad R=0 \quad \text { (gauge fixing, always possible) }
$$

(2) Constraints $\left.H_{\mu \nu} n^{\mu}\right|_{\Sigma}=0$ for initial data $\left.D^{d-1} g_{\mu \nu}\right|_{\Sigma}$

The gauge fixed equation is now

$$
\square_{g}^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{\alpha \beta}+F\left(D^{d-1} g\right)=0
$$

## Higher order equations

Multiple characteristics of the principal symbol $\left(p_{\mu} p^{\mu}\right)^{d / 2}$ of the equation $\square_{g(u)}^{d / 2} u+F\left(D^{d-1} u\right)=0$. Not necessary well-posed (one needs to control many lower order terms), different then Euclidean signature where it is automatically elliptic.

Similar phenomenon for GJMS operator: $P \phi=\square_{g}^{d / 2} \phi+\ldots$.

## Fefferman-Graham ambient metric construction

Ambient metric on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times M \times \mathbb{R}$ with coordinates $t, x^{\mu}, \rho$ indices $I=0, \mu, \infty$.

$$
\mathbf{g}_{I J} d x^{I} d x^{J}=2 \rho d t^{2}+2 t d t d \rho+t^{2} \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}\left(x^{\mu}, \rho\right) d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}
$$

Conformal Killing vector $\mathbf{T}=t \partial_{0}$, where $\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}$ is a $\rho$-dependent metric on $M$.

## Graham-Jenne-Mason-Sparling (GJMS) equation

$$
P \phi=0 \Longleftrightarrow \square \phi=O\left(\rho^{d / 2}\right), \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}=0
$$

where $\phi=\sum_{n=0}^{d / 2-1} \phi^{[n]} \rho^{n}+\ldots$ and $\phi=\phi_{t=1}^{[0]}$.
Equivalent formula for $\tilde{\phi}=\left.\phi\right|_{t=1}$, (recursive)

$$
\left[\square_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{\phi}\right]^{[n]}+(d-2-2 n)(n+1) \tilde{\phi}^{[n+1]}=0
$$

It allows use to determine $\tilde{\phi}^{[n]}$ for $1 \leq n \leq d / 2-1$ and plug recursively to obtain $P \phi=0$.

## GJMS operators as evolution system

Equivalent formula for $\tilde{\phi}=\left.\boldsymbol{\phi}\right|_{t=1}$

$$
\left[\square_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{\phi}\right]^{[n]}+(d-2-2 n)(n+1) \tilde{\phi}^{[n+1]}=0
$$

Instead of eliminating higher orders, let us keep them as independent variables $\tilde{\phi}^{[n]}, n \leq d / 2-1$.

## Evolution equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\square & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \square & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & * & \cdots & \square
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\phi}^{[0]} \\
\tilde{\phi}^{[1]} \\
\vdots \\
\tilde{\phi}^{\left[\frac{d}{2}-1\right]}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{0} \tilde{\phi}^{[1]} \\
c_{1} \tilde{\phi}^{[2]} \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

## GJMS operators as evolution system

Equivalent formula for $\tilde{\phi}=\left.\phi\right|_{t=1}$

$$
\left[\square_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{\phi}\right]^{[n]}+(d-2-2 n)(n+1) \tilde{\phi}^{[n+1]}=0 .
$$

Instead of eliminating higher orders, let us keep them as independent variables $\tilde{\phi}^{[n]}, n \leq d / 2-1$.

## Evolution equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\square & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \square & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & * & \cdots & \square
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{[0]} \\
u^{[1]} \\
\vdots \\
u^{\left[\frac{d}{2}-1\right]}
\end{array}\right]+L\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{0} u^{[1]} \\
c_{1} u^{[2]} \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]+\ldots=0
$$

We introduce $\tilde{\phi}^{[k]}=L^{k} u^{[k]}, L=\sqrt{1+\Delta}$.
Well-posed system, but in skewed Sobolev spaces (Leray hyperbolic). Recursive $\Longrightarrow$ Solution also to $P \phi=0$.

## Fefferman-Graham ambient metric

The obstruction tensor is related to Einstein's equations in higher dimension. Vanishing of the obstruction tensor
(1) Geometric: Conformal Killing $\nabla_{I} \mathbf{T}_{J}=\mathrm{g}_{I J}$, introduce $\rho=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T}_{I} \mathbf{T}^{J}$
$\left.\mathbf{g}_{I J}\right|_{\{\rho=0\}}$ tautological bundle of the conformal structure for $h_{\mu \nu}$
Condition on the Einstein tensor

$$
\mathbf{G}_{I J} \mathbf{X}^{I} \mathbf{X}^{J}=O\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{d / 2}\right), \quad \forall \mathbf{X}^{I} \text { tangent to }\{\boldsymbol{\rho}=0\}
$$

(2) Gauge: $\mathbf{T}=t \partial_{0}$ and $\partial_{\infty}$ null geodesic (locally)

$$
\mathbf{g}_{I J} d x^{I} d x^{J}=2 \rho d t^{2}+2 t d t d \rho+t^{2} \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}\left(x^{\mu}, \rho\right) d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}=\rho t^{2}
$$

(3) Normalization: $\mathbf{R}_{\infty \infty}^{[d / 2-2]}=0$. It is non-dynamical condition on $\operatorname{tr} \tilde{g}^{[d / 2]}$. Then $\left(\mathbf{R}_{0 I}=0\right)$

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mu \nu}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2}\right), \quad \mathbf{R}_{\mu \infty}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right), \quad \mathbf{R}_{\infty \infty}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right)
$$

## Gauge fixing

## Tension between FG gauge and the harmonic gauge

(1) Excessive gauge fixing. The propagation of the gauge in Choquet-Bruhat method uses Bianchi identity. Here Bianchi identity already used to recover

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mu \infty}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right) \text { and } \mathbf{R}_{\infty \infty}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right)
$$

from $\mathbf{R}_{\mu \nu}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2}\right)$. This last condition allows us to recursively determine $\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}^{[k]}$ for $k=0, \ldots, d / 2-1$ and $\operatorname{tr} \tilde{g}^{[d / 2]}$. We construct gauge fixing functions from $\mathbf{R}_{\mu \infty}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\infty \infty}$.
(2) Nondynamical fields. Trace $\operatorname{tr} \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}^{[d / 2]}$ is nondynamical (it appears only without derivatives). It can be cancelled from equations.

## Gauge fixing

Introduce,

$$
\tilde{S}_{\mu \nu}:=\left.\mathbf{R}_{\mu \nu}\right|_{t=1}, \quad \tilde{S}_{\mu \infty}:=\left.\mathbf{R}_{\mu \infty}\right|_{t=1}, \quad \tilde{S}_{\infty \infty}:=\left.\mathbf{R}_{\infty \infty}\right|_{t=1}
$$

Define gauge fixing functions ( $\partial_{\infty}^{-1}$ formal integration in $\rho$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma} & =-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{[0] \xi \chi} \tilde{g}_{\xi \chi}^{[1]}+\partial_{\infty}^{-1} \tilde{S}_{\infty \infty} \\
\tilde{G}_{\mu} & =\tilde{F}_{\mu}^{[0]}+2 \partial_{\infty}^{-1} \tilde{S}_{\mu \infty}-\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\infty}^{-1} \tilde{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the gauge fixed tensor

$$
\tilde{E}_{\mu \nu}=\tilde{S}_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} \tilde{G}_{\nu}+\tilde{\nabla}_{\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu}\right)-\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu} \tilde{\gamma}
$$

## Remark

If the metric is Fefferman-Graham then zero order terms of gauge functions:

$$
\tilde{\gamma}^{[0]} \propto R, \quad \tilde{G}_{\mu}^{[0]}=F_{\mu}=\square x_{\mu} .
$$

## The AFG equation is well-posed

(1) The equation $\tilde{E}_{\mu \nu}=\tilde{S}_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} \tilde{G}_{\nu}+\tilde{\nabla}_{\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu}\right)-\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu} \tilde{\gamma}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2}\right)$

$$
\tilde{E}_{\mu \nu}^{[n]}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\square_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}\right]^{[n]}+\ldots+c_{n} \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}^{[n+1]},
$$

where $c_{d / 2-1}=0$ (recursive and generalized hyperbolic system for $\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}^{[k]}$ for $\left.k=0, \ldots, d / 2-1\right)$.
(2) Bianchi identity gives hyperbolic equations for the gauge

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \square_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{\gamma}+\ldots=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right), \quad-\frac{1}{2} \square_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{G}_{\mu}+\ldots=O\left(\rho^{d / 2}\right)
$$

Vanishing of the initial condition for this system follows from vanishing of $R$ and $F_{\mu}$ to sufficient order on $\Sigma$ and constraints on $\Sigma$.
(0) Nondynamical $\tilde{\gamma}^{[d / 2-1]} \propto \tilde{S}_{\infty \infty}^{[d / 2-2]}$.

Well-posedness in this gauge follows from standard gluing technique the same way as in case of the Einstein's equations.

## Propagation of almost Einstein structure

## Infinite order ambient Ricci flat extensions (Fefferman-Graham)

If $H_{\mu \nu}=0$ then the infinite order Ricci flat extensions

$$
\mathbf{R}_{I J}=O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)
$$

are in 1-1 correspondence with symetric 2-tensors $k_{\mu \nu}:=\mathrm{tf} \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}^{[d / 2]}$ such that

$$
k_{\mu}^{\mu}=0, \quad \nabla^{\mu} k_{\mu \nu}=D_{\nu}
$$

for some given $D_{\nu}$.

- On Lorentzian manifold such extensions always exist (for example it can be propagated from a Cauchy surface by some hyperbolic equation).
- We can assume Ricci flat extension.


## Propagation of almost Einstein structure

Conformally almost Einstein (Gover, Graham-Willse)
Existence of the covariantly constant covector

$$
\nabla_{I} \mathbf{I}_{J}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right) \quad \text { then } I_{I}=\left.\mathbf{I}_{I}^{[0]}\right|_{t=1}
$$

We have $\Omega=I_{0}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{I} \mathbf{I}^{I} \propto \Lambda+O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right)$.
For the solution to Einstein equation we can choose extension

$$
\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}=(1+\lambda \rho)^{2} h_{\mu \nu}, \quad \lambda \propto \Lambda
$$

then $\mathbf{I}_{I}=\partial_{I} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=t(1-\lambda \rho)$ and $\nabla_{I} \mathbf{I}_{J}=0$.

## Propagation of almost Einstein structure

Conformally almost Einstein (Gover, Graham-Willse)
Existence of the covariantly constant covector

$$
\nabla_{I} \mathbf{I}_{J}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right) \quad \text { then } I_{I}=\left.\mathbf{I}_{I}^{[0]}\right|_{t=1}
$$

We have $\Omega=I_{0}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{I} \mathbf{I}^{I} \propto \Lambda+O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right)$.
Propagation $\left(\mathbf{I}_{I}=\partial_{I} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)$ depends on the extension

$$
\square \boldsymbol{\sigma}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2+1}\right), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\boldsymbol{\sigma} .
$$

- If $\square \boldsymbol{\sigma}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2+1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{R}_{I J}=O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)$ then (recursive)

$$
(\square+\ldots) \nabla_{I} \mathbf{I}_{J}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right)
$$

If the initial data vanish then $\nabla_{I} \mathbf{I}_{J}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2-1}\right)$ everywhere.
Reduces to standard condition $\left.D^{d-1} \operatorname{tf}\left(\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \Omega-P_{\mu \nu} \Omega\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=0$.

- Additional properties $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{I} \mathbf{I}_{I}=O\left(\rho^{d / 2+1}\right), \nabla_{[I} \mathbf{I}_{J]}=0$.


## Summary

(1) The AFG equation (vanishing of the Fefferman-Graham obstruction tensor) is a well-posed system (in $\square x_{\mu}=0$ and $R=0$ gauge).
(2) The almost Einstein condition propagates by hyperbolic equation too, thus we have stability of future or past asymptotically simple solutions (Anderson, Anderson-Chruściel).
( Application to other equations constructed by ambient metric like conformal powers of d'Alembertians (GJMS), $Q$-curvature etc.

## Thank you!

## Non-strictly hyperbolic problems

## Example of ill-posed problem

On $\mathbb{R} \times S^{1}$

$$
\square^{3} \phi+\partial_{2}\left(\partial_{1}+\partial_{2}\right)^{3} \phi=0, \quad \square=\partial_{1}^{2}-\partial_{2}^{2}
$$

Example mode solutions $\phi_{k}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=e^{i\left(\omega(k) x^{1}+k x^{2}\right)}$ with $\omega(k)=\frac{-1-i \sqrt{3}}{2} k^{1 / 3}$.

$$
\left.\partial_{1}^{n} \phi\right|_{\Omega}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^{n} \omega(k)^{n} e^{-k^{1 / 4}} e^{i k x^{2}}, \quad n=0 \ldots 5
$$

does not admit Cauchy development.

