Differential invariants of Kundt spacetimes

Eivind Schneider (joint with Boris Kruglikov)

Department of Mathematics University of Hradec Králové

August 18, 2021

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ショウ

<□> <0</p>

A Lorentzian metric g on an n-dimensional manifold M is a Kundt metric if there exists a vector field ℓ such that

$$\|\ell\|_g^2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\ell}^g \ell = 0, \quad \mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^g \ell) = 0, \quad \|\nabla^g \ell^{\mathsf{sym}}\|_g^2 = 0, \quad \|\nabla^g \ell^{\mathsf{alt}}\|_g^2 = 0,$$

where ∇^g is the Levi-Civita connection given by g.

A Lorentzian metric g on an n-dimensional manifold M is a Kundt metric if there exists a vector field ℓ such that

$$\|\ell\|_g^2=0,\quad \nabla_\ell^g\ell=0,\quad \mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^g\ell)=0,\quad \|\nabla^g\ell^{\mathsf{sym}}\|_g^2=0,\quad \|\nabla^g\ell^{\mathsf{alt}}\|_g^2=0,$$

where ∇^g is the Levi-Civita connection given by g. We call g a degenerate Kundt metric if, in addition,

- ▶ The Riemann tensor Riem is aligned and of algebraically special type II, and
- ▶ $\nabla^{g}(\text{Riem})$ is aligned and of algebraically special type *II*.

A Lorentzian metric g on an n-dimensional manifold M is a Kundt metric if there exists a vector field ℓ such that

$$\|\ell\|_g^2 = 0, \quad \nabla_\ell^g \ell = 0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla^g \ell) = 0, \quad \|\nabla^g \ell^{\mathsf{sym}}\|_g^2 = 0, \quad \|\nabla^g \ell^{\mathsf{alt}}\|_g^2 = 0,$$

where ∇^g is the Levi-Civita connection given by g. We call g a degenerate Kundt metric if, in addition,

- ▶ The Riemann tensor Riem is aligned and of algebraically special type II, and
- $\triangleright \nabla^{g}(\text{Riem})$ is aligned and of algebraically special type II.

For any Kundt metric, there exist local coordinates $u, x^1, \dots, x^{n-2}, v$ in which g takes the form

$$g = du \left(dv + H(u, x, v) du + W_i(u, x, v) dx^i \right) + h_{ij}(u, x) dx^i dx^j.$$

A Lorentzian metric g on an n-dimensional manifold M is a Kundt metric if there exists a vector field ℓ such that

$$\|\ell\|_g^2 = 0, \quad \nabla_\ell^g \ell = 0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla^g \ell) = 0, \quad \|\nabla^g \ell^{\mathsf{sym}}\|_g^2 = 0, \quad \|\nabla^g \ell^{\mathsf{alt}}\|_g^2 = 0,$$

where ∇^g is the Levi-Civita connection given by g. We call g a degenerate Kundt metric if, in addition,

- ▶ The Riemann tensor Riem is aligned and of algebraically special type II, and
- $\triangleright \nabla^{g}(\text{Riem})$ is aligned and of algebraically special type II.

For any Kundt metric, there exist local coordinates $u, x^1, \dots, x^{n-2}, v$ in which g takes the form

$$g = du \left(dv + H(u, x, v) du + W_i(u, x, v) dx^i \right) + h_{ij}(u, x) dx^i dx^j.$$

In these coordinates, $\ell = \partial_v$, and g is a degenerate Kundt metric if and only if $(W_i)_{vv} = 0$ and $H_{vvv} = 0$.

<□> <0</p>

We say that two Kundt metrics g and \tilde{g} on M are equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi \colon M \to M$ such that $\varphi^*(\tilde{g}) = g$.

We say that two Kundt metrics g and \tilde{g} on M are equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi \colon M \to M$ such that $\varphi^*(\tilde{g}) = g$.

Important task: To recognize equivalent Kundt metrics and distinguish inequivalent ones.

We say that two Kundt metrics g and \tilde{g} on M are equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi \colon M \to M$ such that $\varphi^*(\tilde{g}) = g$.

Important task: To recognize equivalent Kundt metrics and distinguish inequivalent ones.

One of the standard ways is to use polynomial curvature invariants, i.e. total contractions of the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. However, not all spacetimes are separated by such invariants. In particular, in dimension n = 4 the degenerate Kundt spacetimes are exactly those that can not separated by polynomial curvature invariants (Coley, Hervik, Pelavas 2009).

We say that two Kundt metrics g and \tilde{g} on M are equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi \colon M \to M$ such that $\varphi^*(\tilde{g}) = g$.

Important task: To recognize equivalent Kundt metrics and distinguish inequivalent ones.

One of the standard ways is to use polynomial curvature invariants, i.e. total contractions of the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. However, not all spacetimes are separated by such invariants. In particular, in dimension n = 4 the degenerate Kundt spacetimes are exactly those that can not separated by polynomial curvature invariants (Coley, Hervik, Pelavas 2009).

Therefore we need to use other invariants!

To simplify the problem, we will use the coordinates in which \boldsymbol{g} takes the form

$$g = du \left(dv + H(u, x, v) \, du + W_i(u, x, v) \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij}(u, x) \, dx^i dx^j. \tag{1}$$

To simplify the problem, we will use the coordinates in which g takes the form

$$g = du \left(dv + H(u, x, v) \, du + W_i(u, x, v) \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij}(u, x) \, dx^i dx^j. \tag{1}$$

Then we must also restrict to diffeomorphisms preserving this form.



To simplify the problem, we will use the coordinates in which g takes the form

$$g = du \left(dv + H(u, x, v) \, du + W_i(u, x, v) \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij}(u, x) \, dx^i dx^j. \tag{1}$$

Then we must also restrict to diffeomorphisms preserving this form.

Theorem

The transformations preserving the form of (1) are given by

$$(u, x^i, v) \mapsto \left(C(u), A^i(u, x), \frac{v}{C'(u)} + B(u, x)\right), \quad \det[A^i_{x^j}] \neq 0, C'(u) \neq 0.$$

To simplify the problem, we will use the coordinates in which g takes the form

$$g = du \left(dv + H(u, x, v) \, du + W_i(u, x, v) \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij}(u, x) \, dx^i dx^j. \tag{1}$$

Then we must also restrict to diffeomorphisms preserving this form.

Theorem

The transformations preserving the form of (1) are given by

$$(u, x^i, v) \mapsto \left(C(u), A^i(u, x), \frac{v}{C'(u)} + B(u, x)\right), \quad \det[A^i_{x^j}] \neq 0, C'(u) \neq 0.$$

The Lie algebra ${\mathfrak g}$ corresponding to this Lie pseudogroup consists of the vector fields

$$c(u)\partial_u + a^i(u,x)\partial_{x^i} + (b(u,x) - c'(u)v)\partial_v.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

<□> <0</p>

The Kundt metrics of form (1) can be considered as sections of a bundle

 $\pi\colon M\times F\to M$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2}$.

The Kundt metrics of form (1) can be considered as sections of a bundle

 $\pi\colon M\times F\to M$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $u, x^1, ..., x^{n-2}, v$ be coordinates on M and h_{ij}, W_i, H be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^N , where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$.

The Kundt metrics of form (1) can be considered as sections of a bundle

$$\pi\colon M\times F\to M$$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $u, x^1, ..., x^{n-2}, v$ be coordinates on M and h_{ij}, W_i, H be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^N , where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$. The domain $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is defined by the requirement that the matrix h_{ij} is positive definite.

The Kundt metrics of form (1) can be considered as sections of a bundle

$$\pi\colon M\times F\to M$$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $u, x^1, ..., x^{n-2}, v$ be coordinates on M and h_{ij}, W_i, H be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^N , where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$. The domain $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is defined by the requirement that the matrix h_{ij} is positive definite.

The vector fields of $\mathfrak g$ can be lifted to $F\times M$ by requiring the lifts to preserve the horizontal symmetric form

$$G = du \left(dv + H \, du + W_i \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij} \, dx^i dx^j.$$

The Kundt metrics of form (1) can be considered as sections of a bundle

$$\pi\colon M\times F\to M$$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $u, x^1, ..., x^{n-2}, v$ be coordinates on M and h_{ij}, W_i, H be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^N , where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$. The domain $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is defined by the requirement that the matrix h_{ij} is positive definite.

The vector fields of \mathfrak{g} can be lifted to $F \times M$ by requiring the lifts to preserve the horizontal symmetric form

$$G = du \left(dv + H \, du + W_i \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij} \, dx^i dx^j.$$

The lift \hat{X} of the vector field $X = c(u)\partial_u + a^i(u,x)\partial_{x^i} + (b(u,x) - c'(u)v)\partial_v$ is found by setting $\hat{X} = X + A_{ij}\partial_{h_{ij}} + B_i\partial_{W_i} + C\partial_H$, and determining the coefficients from the equation $L_{\hat{X}}G = 0$:

The Kundt metrics of form (1) can be considered as sections of a bundle

$$\pi\colon M\times F\to M$$

where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $u, x^1, ..., x^{n-2}, v$ be coordinates on M and h_{ij}, W_i, H be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^N , where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$. The domain $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is defined by the requirement that the matrix h_{ij} is positive definite.

The vector fields of $\mathfrak g$ can be lifted to $F\times M$ by requiring the lifts to preserve the horizontal symmetric form

$$G = du \left(dv + H \, du + W_i \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij} \, dx^i dx^j.$$

The lift \hat{X} of the vector field $X = c(u)\partial_u + a^i(u,x)\partial_{x^i} + (b(u,x) - c'(u)v)\partial_v$ is found by setting $\hat{X} = X + A_{ij}\partial_{h_{ij}} + B_i\partial_{W_i} + C\partial_H$, and determining the coefficients from the equation $L_{\hat{X}}G = 0$:

$$\begin{split} \hat{X} = & c\partial_u + a^i \partial_{x^i} + (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (c'W_i + a^j_i W_j + b_i + 2a^j_u h_{ij})\partial_{W_i} - (2c'H - c''v + b_u + a^j_u W_j)\partial_{H_i} \\ & = - c \partial_u + a^j_u \partial_u \partial_u - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{lj} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ij}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ii}}) \\ & - (b - c'v)\partial_v - (a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ij}} + a^l_i h_{li} \partial_{h_{ij}} +$$

Let $J^k \pi$ denote the space of k-jets of sections of π .

Let $J^k\pi$ denote the space of k-jets of sections of π . The choice of coordinates on $F \times M$ gives a natural set of coordinates on $J^k\pi$. For example, on $J^1\pi$ we get the following coordinates:

 $u, x^{i}, v, h_{ij}, W_{i}, H, (h_{ij})_{u}, (h_{ij})_{x^{k}}, (h_{ij})_{v}, (W_{i})_{u}, (W_{i})_{x^{k}}, (W_{i})_{v}, H_{u}, H_{x^{k}}, H_{v}.$

Let $J^k\pi$ denote the space of k-jets of sections of π . The choice of coordinates on $F \times M$ gives a natural set of coordinates on $J^k\pi$. For example, on $J^1\pi$ we get the following coordinates:

 $u, x^{i}, v, h_{ij}, W_{i}, H, (h_{ij})_{u}, (h_{ij})_{x^{k}}, (h_{ij})_{v}, (W_{i})_{u}, (W_{i})_{x^{k}}, (W_{i})_{v}, H_{u}, H_{x^{k}}, H_{v}.$

If g is a section of π given by $h_{ij} = \tilde{h}_{ij}(u, x, v), W_i = \tilde{W}_i(u, x, v), H = \tilde{H}(u, x, v)$, then it prolongs naturally to a section j^1g of the bundle $J^1\pi \to M$:

Let $J^k\pi$ denote the space of k-jets of sections of π . The choice of coordinates on $F \times M$ gives a natural set of coordinates on $J^k\pi$. For example, on $J^1\pi$ we get the following coordinates:

 $u, x^{i}, v, h_{ij}, W_{i}, H, (h_{ij})_{u}, (h_{ij})_{x^{k}}, (h_{ij})_{v}, (W_{i})_{u}, (W_{i})_{x^{k}}, (W_{i})_{v}, H_{u}, H_{x^{k}}, H_{v}.$

If g is a section of π given by $h_{ij} = \tilde{h}_{ij}(u, x, v), W_i = \tilde{W}_i(u, x, v), H = \tilde{H}(u, x, v)$, then it prolongs naturally to a section j^1g of the bundle $J^1\pi \to M$:

$$(h_{ij})_u = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial u}(u, x, v), \quad (h_{ij})_{x^k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial x^k}(u, x, v), \quad \cdots, \quad H_v = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial v}(u, x, v).$$

Let $J^k\pi$ denote the space of k-jets of sections of π . The choice of coordinates on $F \times M$ gives a natural set of coordinates on $J^k\pi$. For example, on $J^1\pi$ we get the following coordinates:

 $u, x^{i}, v, h_{ij}, W_{i}, H, (h_{ij})_{u}, (h_{ij})_{x^{k}}, (h_{ij})_{v}, (W_{i})_{u}, (W_{i})_{x^{k}}, (W_{i})_{v}, H_{u}, H_{x^{k}}, H_{v}.$

If g is a section of π given by $h_{ij} = \tilde{h}_{ij}(u, x, v), W_i = \tilde{W}_i(u, x, v), H = \tilde{H}(u, x, v)$, then it prolongs naturally to a section j^1g of the bundle $J^1\pi \to M$:

$$(h_{ij})_u = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial u}(u, x, v), \quad (h_{ij})_{x^k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial x^k}(u, x, v), \quad \cdots, \quad H_v = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial v}(u, x, v).$$

In a similar way g prolongs to a section $j^k g$ of the bundle $J^k \pi \to M$.

Let $J^k\pi$ denote the space of k-jets of sections of π . The choice of coordinates on $F \times M$ gives a natural set of coordinates on $J^k\pi$. For example, on $J^1\pi$ we get the following coordinates:

$$u, x^{i}, v, h_{ij}, W_{i}, H, (h_{ij})_{u}, (h_{ij})_{x^{k}}, (h_{ij})_{v}, (W_{i})_{u}, (W_{i})_{x^{k}}, (W_{i})_{v}, H_{u}, H_{x^{k}}, H_{v}.$$

If g is a section of π given by $h_{ij} = \tilde{h}_{ij}(u, x, v), W_i = \tilde{W}_i(u, x, v), H = \tilde{H}(u, x, v)$, then it prolongs naturally to a section j^1g of the bundle $J^1\pi \to M$:

$$(h_{ij})_u = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial u}(u, x, v), \quad (h_{ij})_{x^k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}{\partial x^k}(u, x, v), \quad \cdots, \quad H_v = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial v}(u, x, v).$$

In a similar way g prolongs to a section $j^k g$ of the bundle $J^k \pi \to M$.

We are not working with arbitrary sections, but with sections satisfying certain differential equations.

► For Kundt spacetimes we have

$$\mathcal{E}^1 = \{(h_{ij})_v = 0\} \subset J^1 \pi.$$

<ロト < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 の < で</p>

► For Kundt spacetimes we have

$$\mathcal{E}^1 = \{(h_{ij})_v = 0\} \subset J^1 \pi.$$

Its prolongation to $J^2\pi$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = \{ (h_{ij})_v = 0, (h_{ij})_{uv} = 0, (h_{ij})_{x^k v} = 0, (h_{ij})_{vv} = 0 \}.$$

For Kundt spacetimes we have

$$\mathcal{E}^1 = \{(h_{ij})_v = 0\} \subset J^1 \pi.$$

Its prolongation to $J^2\pi$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = \{(h_{ij})_v = 0, (h_{ij})_{uv} = 0, (h_{ij})_{x^k v} = 0, (h_{ij})_{vv} = 0\}.$$

In a similar way we define $\mathcal{E}^k \subset J^k \pi$.

For Kundt spacetimes we have

$$\mathcal{E}^1 = \{(h_{ij})_v = 0\} \subset J^1 \pi.$$

Its prolongation to $J^2\pi$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = \{ (h_{ij})_v = 0, (h_{ij})_{uv} = 0, (h_{ij})_{x^k v} = 0, (h_{ij})_{vv} = 0 \}.$$

In a similar way we define $\mathcal{E}^k \subset J^k \pi$.

• For degenerate Kundt spacetimes we have a sub-PDE $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k \subset \mathcal{E}^k \subset J^k \pi$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^1 = \mathcal{E}^1, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \mathcal{E}^2 \cap \{(W_i)_{vv} = 0\},\\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3 = \mathcal{E}^3 \cap \{(W_i)_{vv} = 0, (W_i)_{vvv} = 0, H_{vvv} = 0\}.$$

・ロト ・日 ・ モー・ モー・ ロー・ つへの

For Kundt spacetimes we have

$$\mathcal{E}^1 = \{(h_{ij})_v = 0\} \subset J^1 \pi.$$

Its prolongation to $J^2\pi$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = \{ (h_{ij})_v = 0, (h_{ij})_{uv} = 0, (h_{ij})_{x^k v} = 0, (h_{ij})_{vv} = 0 \}.$$

In a similar way we define $\mathcal{E}^k \subset J^k \pi$.

• For degenerate Kundt spacetimes we have a sub-PDE $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k \subset \mathcal{E}^k \subset J^k \pi$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^1 = \mathcal{E}^1, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \mathcal{E}^2 \cap \{(W_i)_{vv} = 0\},\\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3 = \mathcal{E}^3 \cap \{(W_i)_{vv} = 0, (W_i)_{vvv} = 0, H_{vvv} = 0\}.$$

For k > 3, we define $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k \subset J^k \pi$ as the prolongation of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3$.

If g is a section of π , then the section $j^k g$ of $J^k \pi \to M$ is contained in \mathcal{E}^k if and only if it is a Kundt metric of the form (1).

If g is a section of π , then the section $j^k g$ of $J^k \pi \to M$ is contained in \mathcal{E}^k if and only if it is a Kundt metric of the form (1). (The same statement holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$ and degenerate Kundt metrics.

Any vector field X in g prolongs to a vector field $\hat{X}^{(k)}$ on $J^k \pi$ in the standard way, and $\hat{X}^{(k)}$ is tangent to \mathcal{E}^k and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$ for each k.

If g is a section of π , then the section $j^k g$ of $J^k \pi \to M$ is contained in \mathcal{E}^k if and only if it is a Kundt metric of the form (1). (The same statement holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$ and degenerate Kundt metrics.

Any vector field X in g prolongs to a vector field $\hat{X}^{(k)}$ on $J^k \pi$ in the standard way, and $\hat{X}^{(k)}$ is tangent to \mathcal{E}^k and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$ for each k.

We would like to distinguish sections of π satisfying \mathcal{E} or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ under the equivalence relation given by the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Both of these are of infinite dimension.

If g is a section of π , then the section $j^k g$ of $J^k \pi \to M$ is contained in \mathcal{E}^k if and only if it is a Kundt metric of the form (1). (The same statement holds for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$ and degenerate Kundt metrics.

Any vector field X in g prolongs to a vector field $\hat{X}^{(k)}$ on $J^k \pi$ in the standard way, and $\hat{X}^{(k)}$ is tangent to \mathcal{E}^k and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$ for each k.

We would like to distinguish sections of π satisfying \mathcal{E} or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ under the equivalence relation given by the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Both of these are of infinite dimension. However, each manifold $\mathcal{E}^k, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k \subset J^k \pi$ is of finite dimension, and so are \mathfrak{g} -orbits on these.

Differential invariants

Definition

A differential invariant of order k is a function I on \mathcal{E}^k (or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$) which is constant on g-orbits.

Definition

A differential invariant of order k is a function I on \mathcal{E}^k (or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^k$) which is constant on g-orbits.

Theorem (Lie-Tresse-...-Kruglikov-Lychagin)

The algebra of rational differential invariants separates orbits in general position in \mathcal{E}^{∞} and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\infty}$. It is generated by a finite number of differential invariants and invariant derivations.

A differential invariant I is a function on \mathcal{E}^k .

A differential invariant I is a function on \mathcal{E}^k . By restricting it to a section g of π , we obtain a function on M:

$$I_g = I \circ j^k g.$$

A differential invariant I is a function on \mathcal{E}^k . By restricting it to a section g of π , we obtain a function on M:

$$I_g = I \circ j^k g.$$

Now assume that we have n invariants $I^1, ..., I^n$ that are independent when restricted to g, i.e.

$$dI_g^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dI_g^n \neq 0.$$

A differential invariant I is a function on \mathcal{E}^k . By restricting it to a section g of π , we obtain a function on M:

$$I_g = I \circ j^k g.$$

Now assume that we have n invariants I^1, \ldots, I^n that are independent when restricted to $g, \mbox{ i.e. }$

$$dI_g^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dI_g^n \neq 0.$$

Then $I_g^1, ..., I_g^n$ can be used as coordinates on M, and we can write g in these coordinates:

$$g = K_{ij}(I_g^1, \dots, I_g^n) dI_g^i dI_g^j.$$

A differential invariant I is a function on \mathcal{E}^k . By restricting it to a section g of π , we obtain a function on M:

$$I_g = I \circ j^k g.$$

Now assume that we have n invariants $I^1, ..., I^n$ that are independent when restricted to g, i.e.

 $dI_g^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dI_g^n \neq 0.$

Then $I_g^1, ..., I_g^n$ can be used as coordinates on M, and we can write g in these coordinates:

$$g = K_{ij}(I_g^1, \dots, I_g^n) dI_g^i dI_g^j.$$

Two metrics that are written in these invariant coordinates can be compared directly. They are equivalent if and only if the functions $K_{ij}(I_q^1, ..., I_q^n)$ are equal.

A differential invariant I is a function on \mathcal{E}^k . By restricting it to a section g of π , we obtain a function on M:

$$I_g = I \circ j^k g.$$

Now assume that we have n invariants $I^1, ..., I^n$ that are independent when restricted to g, i.e.

 $dI_g^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dI_g^n \neq 0.$

Then $I_g^1, ..., I_g^n$ can be used as coordinates on M, and we can write g in these coordinates:

$$g = K_{ij}(I_g^1, \dots, I_g^n) dI_g^i dI_g^j.$$

Two metrics that are written in these invariant coordinates can be compared directly. They are equivalent if and only if the functions $K_{ij}(I_q^1, ..., I_q^n)$ are equal.

Generic Kundt metrics can be distinguished by polynomial curvature invariants. In particular, we may take the invariants

 $I^i = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}^i)$

for i = 1, ..., n, where $\operatorname{Ric} : TM \to TM$ is the Ricci operator.

Generic Kundt metrics can be distinguished by polynomial curvature invariants. In particular, we may take the invariants

 $I^i = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}^i)$

for i = 1, ..., n, where $\operatorname{Ric} : TM \to TM$ is the Ricci operator. We have

 $\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \neq 0$

on a Zariski open set in \mathcal{E}^3 .

Generic Kundt metrics can be distinguished by polynomial curvature invariants. In particular, we may take the invariants

 $I^i = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}^i)$

for i = 1, ..., n, where $\operatorname{Ric} : TM \to TM$ is the Ricci operator. We have

 $\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \neq 0$

on a Zariski open set in \mathcal{E}^3 . Here \hat{d} is the horizontal differential. It is defined on a function $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}^k)$ by $\hat{d}f \circ j^k g = d(f \circ j^k g)$, or in coordinates by

$$\hat{d}f = D_u(f)du + D_{x^i}(f)dx^i + D_v(f)dv.$$

Generic Kundt metrics can be distinguished by polynomial curvature invariants. In particular, we may take the invariants

 $I^i = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}^i)$

for i = 1, ..., n, where $\operatorname{Ric} : TM \to TM$ is the Ricci operator. We have

 $\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \neq 0$

on a Zariski open set in \mathcal{E}^3 . Here \hat{d} is the horizontal differential. It is defined on a function $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}^k)$ by $\hat{d}f \circ j^k g = d(f \circ j^k g)$, or in coordinates by

$$\hat{d}f = D_u(f)du + D_{x^i}(f)dx^i + D_v(f)dv.$$

On this Zariski open set, $dI^1, ..., dI^n$ form an invariant horizontal coframe.

Generic Kundt metrics can be distinguished by polynomial curvature invariants. In particular, we may take the invariants

 $I^i = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Ric}^i)$

for i = 1, ..., n, where $\operatorname{Ric} : TM \to TM$ is the Ricci operator. We have

 $\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \neq 0$

on a Zariski open set in \mathcal{E}^3 . Here \hat{d} is the horizontal differential. It is defined on a function $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}^k)$ by $\hat{d}f \circ j^k g = d(f \circ j^k g)$, or in coordinates by

$$\hat{d}f = D_u(f)du + D_{x^i}(f)dx^i + D_v(f)dv.$$

On this Zariski open set, $dI^1, ..., dI^n$ form an invariant horizontal coframe. There is also a dual frame of invariant derivations $\hat{\partial}_i = AD_u + B_i^j D_{x^j} + CD_v$, satisfying $dI^i(\hat{\partial}_j) = \delta_j^i$.

We can express the horizontal symmetric form

$$G = du \left(dv + H \, du + W_i \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij} \, dx^i dx^j.$$

as

$$G = K_{ij}\hat{d}I^i\hat{d}I^j,$$

where $K_{ij} = G(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j)$ are rational differential invariants of order 3.

We can express the horizontal symmetric form

$$G = du \left(dv + H \, du + W_i \, dx^i \right) + h_{ij} \, dx^i dx^j.$$

as

$$G = K_{ij}\hat{d}I^i\hat{d}I^j,$$

where $K_{ij} = G(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j)$ are rational differential invariants of order 3.

Theorem

The algebra of rational differential invariants is generated by I^i, K_{ij} and the invariant derivations $\hat{\partial}_i$.

The above approach does not work for degenerate Kundt metrics, because for these we have

$$\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \equiv 0.$$

The above approach does not work for degenerate Kundt metrics, because for these we have

$$\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \equiv 0.$$

In general, only n-1 of these invariants are horizontally independent (in our adapted coordinates, we have $D_v(I^i) = 0$ for all of them).

The above approach does not work for degenerate Kundt metrics, because for these we have

$$\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \equiv 0.$$

In general, only n-1 of these invariants are horizontally independent (in our adapted coordinates, we have $D_v(I^i) = 0$ for all of them).

For n-1 horizontally independent invariants $J^1, ..., J^{n-1}$ from the above set, let $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_{n-1}$ be the *G*-duals to $\hat{d}J^1, ..., \hat{d}J^{n-1}$.

The above approach does not work for degenerate Kundt metrics, because for these we have

$$\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \equiv 0.$$

In general, only n-1 of these invariants are horizontally independent (in our adapted coordinates, we have $D_v(I^i) = 0$ for all of them).

For n-1 horizontally independent invariants $J^1, ..., J^{n-1}$ from the above set, let $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_{n-1}$ be the *G*-duals to $\hat{d}J^1, ..., \hat{d}J^{n-1}$. The invariants can be chosen such that $\nabla_2, ..., \nabla_{n-1}$ are spacelike vector fields (after restriction to a degenerate Kundt metric), making the matrix $[G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)]_{i=2}^{n-1}$ positive definite.

The above approach does not work for degenerate Kundt metrics, because for these we have

$$\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{d}I^n \equiv 0.$$

In general, only n-1 of these invariants are horizontally independent (in our adapted coordinates, we have $D_v(I^i) = 0$ for all of them).

For n-1 horizontally independent invariants $J^1, ..., J^{n-1}$ from the above set, let $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_{n-1}$ be the *G*-duals to $\hat{d}J^1, ..., \hat{d}J^{n-1}$. The invariants can be chosen such that $\nabla_2, ..., \nabla_{n-1}$ are spacelike vector fields (after restriction to a degenerate Kundt metric), making the matrix $[G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)]_{i=2}^{n-1}$ positive definite.

Define the nth derivation by

$$G(\nabla_1, \nabla_n) = 1,$$
 $G(\nabla_i, \nabla_n) = 0$ for $i = 2, ..., n.$

Let $\omega^1, ..., \omega^n$ denote the horizontal coframe dual to $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_n$, defined by $\omega^i(\nabla_j) = \delta^i_j$.

Let $\omega^1, ..., \omega^n$ denote the horizontal coframe dual to $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_n$, defined by $\omega^i(\nabla_j) = \delta^i_j$. Then we have

$$G = L_{ij}\omega^i\omega^j$$

where $L_{ij} = G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$ are differential invariants of order 3.

Let $\omega^1, ..., \omega^n$ denote the horizontal coframe dual to $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_n$, defined by $\omega^i(\nabla_j) = \delta^i_j$. Then we have

$$G = L_{ij}\omega^i\omega^j$$

where $L_{ij} = G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$ are differential invariants of order 3. In this case, we have nontrivial commutation relations $[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] = c_{ij}^k \nabla_k$, where c_{ij}^k are differential invariants of order 3.

Let $\omega^1, ..., \omega^n$ denote the horizontal coframe dual to $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_n$, defined by $\omega^i(\nabla_j) = \delta^i_j$. Then we have

$$G = L_{ij}\omega^i\omega^j$$

where $L_{ij} = G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$ are differential invariants of order 3. In this case, we have nontrivial commutation relations $[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] = c_{ij}^k \nabla_k$, where c_{ij}^k are differential invariants of order 3.

Theorem

The algebra of differential invariants is generated by the differential invariants L_{ij}, c_{ij}^k and the invariant derivations ∇_i .

Other choices of generators of invariants

Other choices of generators of invariants

The above approach is very flexible regarding the choice of n invariants or n invariant derivations. All we require is that $\hat{d}I^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{d}I^n$ is nonvanishing, or that $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_n$ are independent, on generic points.

Other choices of generators of invariants

The above approach is very flexible regarding the choice of n invariants or n invariant derivations. All we require is that $dI^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dI^n$ is nonvanishing, or that $\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n$ are independent, on generic points. In particular there is, for any choice of dimension n, exactly one differential invariant of order 1 which can be used as one of the generators.

Other choices of generators of invariants

The above approach is very flexible regarding the choice of n invariants or n invariant derivations. All we require is that $dI^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dI^n$ is nonvanishing, or that $\nabla_1, \ldots, \nabla_n$ are independent, on generic points. In particular there is, for any choice of dimension n, exactly one differential invariant of order 1 which can be used as one of the generators.

Theorem

There is one algebraically independent invariant of first order, and it is given by

 $(W_i)_v (W_j)_v h^{ij}.$

Other choices of generators of invariants

The above approach is very flexible regarding the choice of n invariants or n invariant derivations. All we require is that $dI^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dI^n$ is nonvanishing, or that $\nabla_1, \ldots, \nabla_n$ are independent, on generic points. In particular there is, for any choice of dimension n, exactly one differential invariant of order 1 which can be used as one of the generators.

Theorem

There is one algebraically independent invariant of first order, and it is given by

 $(W_i)_v (W_j)_v h^{ij}.$

Note that the matrix $[h^{ij}]$ is the inverse to $[h_{ij}]$. In particular, we have the determinant of the latter in the denominator of I_1 .

Other choices of generators of invariants

The above approach is very flexible regarding the choice of n invariants or n invariant derivations. All we require is that $dI^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dI^n$ is nonvanishing, or that $\nabla_1, ..., \nabla_n$ are independent, on generic points. In particular there is, for any choice of dimension n, exactly one differential invariant of order 1 which can be used as one of the generators.

Theorem

There is one algebraically independent invariant of first order, and it is given by

 $(W_i)_v (W_j)_v h^{ij}.$

Note that the matrix $[h^{ij}]$ is the inverse to $[h_{ij}]$. In particular, we have the determinant of the latter in the denominator of I_1 .

We will show another choice of generators for n = 3.

We simplify our notation and use coordinates u, x, v, h, W, H on $F \times M$.

We simplify our notation and use coordinates u, x, v, h, W, H on $F \times M$. Let us start by giving an invariant horizontal frame.

We simplify our notation and use coordinates u, x, v, h, W, H on $F \times M$. Let us start by giving an invariant horizontal frame.

Theorem

The derivations

$$\nabla_1 = \frac{W_v}{W_{vv}} D_v, \qquad \nabla_2 = \frac{2}{W_v} D_x + \frac{h_x W_v - 2h W_{xv}}{h W_v W_{vv}} D_v,$$
$$\nabla_3 = \frac{1}{W_v} \left(H_{vv} D_x - W_{vv} D_u + (W_{uv} - H_{xv}) D_v \right)$$

are invariant, and they are independent on a Zariski open subset of \mathcal{E}^2 .

We simplify our notation and use coordinates u, x, v, h, W, H on $F \times M$. Let us start by giving an invariant horizontal frame.

Theorem

The derivations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 &= \frac{W_v}{W_{vv}} D_v, \qquad \nabla_2 &= \frac{2}{W_v} D_x + \frac{h_x W_v - 2h W_{xv}}{h W_v W_{vv}} D_v, \\ \nabla_3 &= \frac{1}{W_v} \left(H_{vv} D_x - W_{vv} D_u + (W_{uv} - H_{xv}) D_v \right) \end{aligned}$$

are invariant, and they are independent on a Zariski open subset of \mathcal{E}^2 .

The derivations satisfy $[\hat{X}^{(\infty)}, \nabla_i] = 0$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, and they were found by solving this system of PDEs.

If we let α^j denote the elements of the dual horizontal coframe $(\alpha^j(\nabla_i) = \delta_i^j)$, then the horizontal symmetric 2-form G written in terms of this coframe will have coefficients given by $G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$.

If we let α^j denote the elements of the dual horizontal coframe ($\alpha^j(\nabla_i) = \delta_i^j$), then the horizontal symmetric 2-form G written in terms of this coframe will have coefficients given by $G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$. It takes the form

$$G = I_1^{-1} \left((J_1 \alpha^3 + J_2 \alpha^2 - I_1 \alpha^1) \alpha^3 + 4(\alpha^2)^2 \right)$$

If we let α^j denote the elements of the dual horizontal coframe $(\alpha^j(\nabla_i) = \delta_i^j)$, then the horizontal symmetric 2-form G written in terms of this coframe will have coefficients given by $G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$. It takes the form

$$G = I_1^{-1} \left((J_1 \alpha^3 + J_2 \alpha^2 - I_1 \alpha^1) \alpha^3 + 4(\alpha^2)^2 \right)$$

where $I_1 = \frac{W_v^2}{h}$ is the first-order differential invariant from the previous slide and

$$J_{1} = \frac{HW_{vv}^{2} + (-H_{vv}W + H_{xv} - W_{uv})W_{vv} + H_{vv}^{2}h}{h},$$
$$J_{2} = \frac{4H_{vv}h^{2} + 2(W_{xv} - WW_{vv})h - W_{v}h_{x}}{h^{2}}$$

are second-order differential invariants.

If we let α^j denote the elements of the dual horizontal coframe $(\alpha^j (\nabla_i) = \delta_i^j)$, then the horizontal symmetric 2-form G written in terms of this coframe will have coefficients given by $G(\nabla_i, \nabla_j)$. It takes the form

$$G = I_1^{-1} \left((J_1 \alpha^3 + J_2 \alpha^2 - I_1 \alpha^1) \alpha^3 + 4(\alpha^2)^2 \right)$$

where $I_1 = \frac{W_v^2}{h}$ is the first-order differential invariant from the previous slide and

$$J_{1} = \frac{HW_{vv}^{2} + (-H_{vv}W + H_{xv} - W_{uv})W_{vv} + H_{vv}^{2}h}{h},$$
$$J_{2} = \frac{4H_{vv}h^{2} + 2(W_{xv} - WW_{vv})h - W_{v}h_{x}}{h^{2}}$$

are second-order differential invariants.

Theorem

For n = 3 the algebra of differential invariants on \mathcal{E} is generated by the differential invariants I_1, J_1, J_2 and the invariant derivations $\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \nabla_3$.

We also have the second-order differential invariants

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{3}(I_{1}) &= 2 \, \frac{H_{vv} W_{xv} - H_{xv} W_{vv}}{h} - \frac{W_{v} (H_{vv} h_{x} - W_{vv} h_{u})}{h^{2}}, \\ J_{3} &= \frac{W_{vv}^{2} (h_{u}^{2} - 2hh_{uu})}{h^{3}} - \frac{2W_{vv} (H_{v} W_{vv} - H_{vv} W_{v})h_{u}}{h^{2}} \\ &- \frac{((H_{v} W - H_{x} + W_{u}) W_{vv}^{2} - W_{v} (H_{vv} W - H_{xv} + W_{uv}) W_{vv} + 2H_{vv}^{2} h W_{v})h_{x}}{h^{3}} \\ &+ \frac{(-2H_{x} W_{v} + 2H_{v} W_{x} + 2H_{xv} W - 2H_{xx} + 2W_{ux}) W_{vv}^{2}}{h^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{((-2H_{vv} W + 2H_{xv} - 2W_{uv}) W_{xv} - 4H_{xv} H_{vv}h) W_{vv} + 4H_{vv}^{2} h W_{xv}}{h^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

which, together with I_1, J_1, J_2 , constitute a transcendence basis for the field of second-order differential invariants on \mathcal{E}^2 .

Let us now consider degenerate kundt metrics.

Let us now consider degenerate kundt metrics. We still have the invariant $I_1 = \frac{W_v^2}{h}$. We define the following functions:

$$I_{2a} = H_{vv}, \quad I_{2b} = \frac{W_v h_x - 2hW_{xv}}{h^2}, \quad K_{2a} = \frac{H_{xv} - W_{uv}}{W}, \quad K_{2b} = \frac{W_v h_u - 2hW_{uv}}{Wh}.$$

The functions I_{2a} and I_{2b} are second-order differential invariants on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^2$.

Let us now consider degenerate kundt metrics. We still have the invariant $I_1 = \frac{W_v^2}{h}$. We define the following functions:

$$I_{2a} = H_{vv}, \quad I_{2b} = \frac{W_v h_x - 2hW_{xv}}{h^2}, \quad K_{2a} = \frac{H_{xv} - W_{uv}}{W}, \quad K_{2b} = \frac{W_v h_u - 2hW_{uv}}{Wh}.$$

The functions I_{2a} and I_{2b} are second-order differential invariants on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^2$. We also define

$$Q = \frac{(2I_{2a}K_{2b} + I_{2b}K_{2a} - I_{2a}I_{2b})W}{I_1},$$

$$R = \frac{I_{2b}HW_v^2}{I_1} - \frac{(I_{2b}I_{2a}^2 - 2K_{2a}(I_{2b} - 2K_{2b})I_{2a} + I_{2b}K_{2a}^2)W^2}{4I_{2a}^2}.$$

Let us now consider degenerate kundt metrics. We still have the invariant $I_1 = \frac{W_v^2}{h}$. We define the following functions:

$$I_{2a} = H_{vv}, \quad I_{2b} = \frac{W_v h_x - 2hW_{xv}}{h^2}, \quad K_{2a} = \frac{H_{xv} - W_{uv}}{W}, \quad K_{2b} = \frac{W_v h_u - 2hW_{uv}}{Wh}.$$

The functions I_{2a} and I_{2b} are second-order differential invariants on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^2$. We also define

$$Q = \frac{(2I_{2a}K_{2b} + I_{2b}K_{2a} - I_{2a}I_{2b})W}{I_1},$$

$$R = \frac{I_{2b}HW_v^2}{I_1} - \frac{(I_{2b}I_{2a}^2 - 2K_{2a}(I_{2b} - 2K_{2b})I_{2a} + I_{2b}K_{2a}^2)W^2}{4I_{2a}^2}.$$

A fourth second-order differential invariant is given by

$$\begin{split} I_{2c} = & \frac{1}{Q^2} \Big(\frac{(I_1^2 h_u (WW_v + h_u) - (h_x (H_v W - H_x + W_u) I_1^2 - W_v^4 I_{2b} H)) I_{2a} I_{2b}}{W_v^2} \\ & - 2 \left(W_v H_x + (h_u - W_x) H_v + H_{xx} - W_{ux} + h_{uu} \right) I_1 I_{2a} I_{2b} \\ & - W^2 I_1 (K_{2b} (I_{2b} - K_{2b}) I_{2a} - 2 I_{2b} K_{2a}^2) \Big). \end{split}$$

We have $\hat{d}I_1 \wedge \hat{d}I_{2a} \wedge \hat{d}I_{2c} \neq 0$ on a Zariski open set in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3$.

We have $dI_1 \wedge dI_{2a} \wedge dI_{2c} \neq 0$ on a Zariski open set in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3$. It is possible to express G in terms of dI_1, dI_{2a}, dI_{2c} as before, and in this way find a generating set of invariants.

We have $dI_1 \wedge dI_{2a} \wedge dI_{2c} \neq 0$ on a Zariski open set in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3$. It is possible to express G in terms of dI_1, dI_{2a}, dI_{2c} as before, and in this way find a generating set of invariants.

Alternatively, we may use the following invariant derivations:

$$\nabla_1 = \frac{I_1}{I_{2a}I_{2b}} \cdot \frac{Q}{W_v} D_v, \qquad \nabla_2 = \frac{1}{W_v} \left(D_x - \frac{K_{2a}}{I_{2a}} W D_v \right),$$
$$\nabla_3 = \frac{2I_{2a}}{I_1} \cdot \frac{1}{QW_v} \left(K_{2b} W D_x - I_{2b} h D_u + R D_v \right)$$

We have $dI_1 \wedge dI_{2a} \wedge dI_{2c} \neq 0$ on a Zariski open set in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^3$. It is possible to express G in terms of dI_1, dI_{2a}, dI_{2c} as before, and in this way find a generating set of invariants.

Alternatively, we may use the following invariant derivations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 &= \frac{I_1}{I_{2a}I_{2b}} \cdot \frac{Q}{W_v} D_v, \qquad \nabla_2 &= \frac{1}{W_v} \left(D_x - \frac{K_{2a}}{I_{2a}} W D_v \right), \\ \nabla_3 &= \frac{2I_{2a}}{I_1} \cdot \frac{1}{QW_v} \left(K_{2b} W D_x - I_{2b} h D_u + R D_v \right) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

The algebra of differential invariants on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is generated by the differential invariants I_1, I_{2a}, I_{2c} and the invariant derivations $\nabla_1, \nabla_2, \nabla_3$.

References

- A. Coley, S. Hervik, N. Pelavas, Spacetimes characterized by their scalar curvature invariants, Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 025013 (2009).
- B. Kruglikov, V. Lychagin, Global Lie-Tresse theorem, Selecta Mathematica 22, 1357-1411 (2016).

This talk was based on

 B. Kruglikov, E. Schneider, Differential invariants of Kundt spacetimes, Class. Quant. Grav., https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abff9c.