Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe. Part III.

Pawel Nurowski

Centrum Fizyki Teoretycznej Polska Akademia Nauk

GRIEG running seminar nr. 4, 12.01.2021

- A conformal transformation: $g \rightarrow \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12a(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so *I* is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

- A conformal transformation: $g \to \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12a(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so *I* is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

• A conformal transformation: $g \to \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors:

 $R_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \sqcup \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega) \right)$

- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12a(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so *I* is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

• A conformal transformation: $g \rightarrow \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors:

 $\hat{\pmb{R}}_{\mu
u}=\Omega^2 \pmb{R}_{\mu
u}+2\Omega
abla_
u\Omega_\mu+\pmb{g}_{\mu
u}\left(\Omega\Box\Omega-\pmb{3}\pmb{g}(
abla\Omega,
abla\Omega)
ight)$

- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{B} = \Omega^2 R + 6 \Omega \Box \Omega - 12 a (\nabla \Omega \nabla \Omega)$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so 𝒴 is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

- A conformal transformation: $g \rightarrow \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars:
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so 𝒴 is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

- A conformal transformation: $g \rightarrow \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12q(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so 𝒴 is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

- A conformal transformation: $g \rightarrow \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $R = -12g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so 𝒴 is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

- A conformal transformation: $g \rightarrow \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12a(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-,+,+,+), so 𝒴 is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

- A conformal transformation: $g \to \hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}g$ results in the following transformation of the respective Ricci tensors: $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 R_{\mu\nu} + 2\Omega \nabla_{\nu} \Omega_{\mu} + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Omega \Box \Omega - 3g(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega)\right)$
- Contracting we get the following transformation of the Ricci scalars: $\hat{R} = \Omega^2 R + 6\Omega \Box \Omega - 12 a(\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega).$
- Interpreting \hat{g} as the physical metric of spacetime, whose conformal compactified metric g has \mathscr{I} where $\Omega \to 0$, we see that the **causal properties of** \mathscr{I} are governed by the formula: $\hat{R} = -12g(\nabla\Omega, \nabla\Omega).$
- Recall that the signature is (-, +, +, +), so *I* is spacelike if ∇Ω is timelike, i.e. if the Ricci scalar of the physical metric is positive, Â > 0.

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$

or

$$\hat{R}_{\mu
u}=\hat{T}_{\mu
u}+(\hat{\Lambda}-rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u}$$
 ,

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} :

$$4\hat{\Lambda}-\hat{T}>0.$$

 This, in particular means that if close to *I* the trace of the energy momentum *T* vanishes, a positive cosmological constant *Â* makes *I* spacelike.

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{B}_{mn} = \pm \hat{B}_{mn} \pm \hat{\Lambda}_{mn} = T_{mn}$

or

 $\hat{R}_{\mu
u}=\hat{T}_{\mu
u}+(\hat{\Lambda}-rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u},$

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} :

This, in particular means that if close to *I* the trace of the energy momentum *T* vanishes, a positive cosmological constant makes *I* spacelike.

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$

or

 $\hat{R}_{\mu
u} = \hat{T}_{\mu
u} + (\hat{\Lambda} - rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u},$

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} :

This, in particular means that if close to *I* the trace of the energy momentum *T* vanishes, a positive cosmological constant makes *I* spacelike.

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$

or

$$\hat{R}_{\mu
u} = \hat{T}_{\mu
u} + (\hat{\Lambda} - rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u}$$
,

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} :

This, in particular means that if close to *I* the trace of the energy momentum *T* vanishes, a positive cosmological constant makes *I* spacelike.

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$

or

$$\hat{R}_{\mu
u}=\hat{T}_{\mu
u}+(\hat{\Lambda}-rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u}$$
 ,

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} :

This, in particular means that if close to *I* the trace of the energy momentum *T* vanishes, a positive cosmological constant *Â* makes *I* spacelike.

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$

or

$$\hat{R}_{\mu
u} = \hat{T}_{\mu
u} + (\hat{\Lambda} - rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u}$$
,

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} : $4\hat{\Lambda} - \hat{T} > 0$

• Using the Einstein equations satisfied by the metric \hat{g} , $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$

or

$$\hat{R}_{\mu
u} = \hat{T}_{\mu
u} + (\hat{\Lambda} - rac{1}{2}\hat{T})\hat{g}_{\mu
u}$$
 ,

one can express this also in terms of the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the physical spacetime and the trace of its energy momentum tensor \hat{T} : $4\hat{\Lambda} - \hat{T} > 0$

This, in particular means that if close to *I* the trace of the energy momentum *î* vanishes, a **positive cosmological constant** *Â* **makes** *I* **spacelike**.

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

 $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$, and $g_{Einst} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$, with $g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^3 of radius 1, and $\Omega = const$. This is the **Einstein's static Universe model**

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - rac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

 $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$, and $g_{Einst} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$, with $g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^3 of radius 1, and $\Omega = const$. This is the **Einstein's static Universe model**.

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

 $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{\circ}$, and $g_{Einst} = -dt^{2} + \Omega^{2}g_{\mathbb{S}^{3}}$, with $g_{\mathbb{S}^{3}}$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^{3} of radius 1, and $\Omega = const$. This is the **Einstein's static Universe model**.

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

 $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$, and $g_{Einst} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$, with $g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^3 of radius 1, and $\Omega = const$. This is the **Einstein's static Universe model**.

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - rac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci-rac{1}{2}Rg+\Lambda g=\mu u\otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - rac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous dust with cosmological constant.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

 The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is Ricci = ²/_{Ω²} g_{S³}. This satisfies Einstein's equations *Ricci* - ¹/₂*Ra* + Λ*a* = μ*u* ⊗ *u*.

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has **spacelike** \mathscr{I} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has spacelike \mathcal{J} .

- Perfect cosmological principle (Copernicus ?): The Universe is the same everywhere, in every direction, and at every moment of time.
- A General Relativity model of such Universe is due to Einstein (1917):

• The Ricci tensor for this spacetime is $Ricci = \frac{2}{\Omega^2}g_{S^3}$. This satisfies Einstein's equations

 $Ricci - \frac{1}{2}Rg + \Lambda g = \mu u \otimes u,$

- Thus it is a solution to Einstein's field equations for homogeneous **dust** with **cosmological constant**.
- In particular the Ricci scalar $R = \frac{6}{\Omega^2}$ is positive. This means that Einstein's model has spacelike \mathscr{I} .

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g*_S, in which *g*_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g_S*, in which *g_s* is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the unit sphere S³.

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g_S*, in which *g_s* is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the unit sphere S³.

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g_S*, in which *g_s* is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g_S*, in which *g_S* is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th
- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g_S*, in which *g_S* is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g*_S, in which *g*_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = ℍ³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space ℍ³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g*_S, in which *g*_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g*_S, in which *g*_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle S → M → ℝ, with S = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric g = -dt² + Ω²(t)g_S, in which g_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on the standard metri

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g*_S, in which *g*_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- After Lemaître-Hubble's discovery of expansion of Galaxies in 1927-29, it became clear that the perfect cosmological principle is not correct, and it got changed into: The Universe is the same everywhere and in every direction.
- The models of the Universe compatible with this principle, due to Friedman, Lemaître, Robertson and Walker (FLRW) are such that the spacetime manifold *M* is a bundle *S* → *M* → ℝ, with *S* = H³, or ℝ³, or S³ the spaces of constant curvature, with the spacetime metric *g* = −d*t*² + Ω²(*t*)*g*_S, in which *g*_S is either the standard metric on the hyperbolic space H³, or the flat metric on ℝ³, or the standard metric on th

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on S such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and ≈ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on S such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{(1 + \frac{z}{4}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and ≈ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and z = -1, 0, 1 corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and ≈ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - $\Omega(t) = const$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **Einstein's static Universe**; homogeneous dust with positive Λ .
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; **vacuum** solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - $\Omega(t) = const$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **Einstein's static Universe**; homogeneous dust with positive Λ .
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; spacetime of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: deSitter Universe; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; spacetime of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; **Friedman-Lemaître Universe** with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: deSitter Universe; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; spacetime of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; Friedman-Lemaître Universe with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: deSitter Universe; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; spacetime of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; Friedman-Lemaître Universe with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: deSitter Universe; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; spacetime of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; Friedman-Lemaître Universe with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

- For example, there exists local coordinates (x, y, z) on *S* such that $g_s = \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{(1+\frac{x}{4}(x^2+y^2+z^2))^2}$, and $\varkappa = -1, 0, 1$ corresponds to \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{S}^3 , respectively.
- Special solutions:
 - Ω(t) = const and κ = 1: Einstein's static Universe; homogeneous dust with positive Λ.
 - $\Omega(t) = \alpha \cosh(\frac{t}{\alpha})$ and $\varkappa = 1$: **deSitter Universe**; vacuum solution with $\Lambda = \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$; **spacetime** of constant curvature.
 - $\Omega(t)$ satisfies $\Omega(\Omega'^2 + \varkappa) = \frac{M}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\Omega^3$, with M = const > 0, $\Lambda = const$; Friedman-Lemaître Universe with Λ of arbitrary sign, filled with **dust** of energy density $\mu = \frac{M}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\Omega^3}$, comoving with 4-velocity u = -dt. For the Ricci scalar being positive one needs $\Lambda > -\mu/4$.
- Note that all FLRW metrics are conformally flat!

The scheme of **Penrose**'s CCC is as follows:¹

¹See: **P. Tod** (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**,https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-185分7, for details. = 🤊 ର

The scheme of **Penrose**'s CCC is as follows:

¹See: **P. Tod** (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**,https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-185**97**, for details. **E 99**

7/20

The scheme of **Penrose**'s CCC is as follows:¹

¹See: **P. Tod** (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7, for details.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴⁺ of the eon #i, and 𝒴[−] of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #*i* and #(*i*+1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴⁺ of the eon #i, and 𝒴[−] of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #*i* and #(*i* + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along *I*⁺ of the eon #i, and *I*⁻ of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #*i* and #(*i* + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric
 ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #*i*, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴+ of the eon #i, and 𝒴− of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #*i* and #(*i* + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴+ of the eon #i, and 𝒴- of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #*i* and #(*i* + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴⁺ of the eon #i, and 𝒴[−] of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #i and #(i + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴⁺ of the eon #i, and 𝒴[−] of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #i and #(i + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴⁺ of the eon #i, and 𝒴[−] of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #*i* and #(*i* + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along *I*⁺ of the eon #i, and *I*⁻ of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #i and #(i + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.
- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along *I*⁺ of the eon #i, and *I*⁻ of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #i and #(i + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric \check{g} , which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along 𝒴⁺ of the eon #i, and 𝒴[−] of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #i and #(i + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric ğ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- The Universe consists of eons, each being a time oriented spacetime, whose conformal compactifications have spacelike *I*. The Weyl tensor of the metric on each *I* is zero.
- Eons are ordered, and the conformal compactifications of consecutive eons, say #i and #(i + 1), are glued together along *I*⁺ of the eon #i, and *I*⁻ of the eon #(i + 1).
- The vicinity of the matching surface (the wound) of eons #i and #(i + 1), this region Penrose calls bandaged region for the two eons, is equipped with the following three metrics, which are conformally flat at the wound:
 - a Lorentzian metric g which is regular everywhere,
 - a Lorentzian metric \check{g} , which represents the physical metric of the eon #(i + 1), and which is singular at the wound,
 - a Lorentzian metric ĝ, which represents the physical metric of the eon #i, and which expands at the wound.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.

• How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that *α* = Ω²*a*, and *â* = ¹/₂*a*, with Ω → 0 on the wound.
- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i+1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i+1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that
 - $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$, and $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$, with $\Omega \to 0$ on the wound.
- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.

- In any bandaged region, the three metrics g, ğ and ĝ, are conformally related.
- How to make this relation specific is debatable, but Penrose proposes that

- The metric ğ in eon #(i + 1) is a physical metric there.
 Likewise, the metric ĝ in eon #i is a physical metric there.
- Of course, the metric ğ in eon #(i + 1), and the metric ĝ in eon #i, as physical spacetime metrics, should satisfy Einstein's equations in #(i + 1) and #i, respectively.



▲ロト▲聞▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

10/20



▲ロト▲聞▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

10/20

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

Modelling Penrose's CCC scenario

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

- **Question**: How to make a model of Penrose's bandaged region?
- One needs a function Ω , vanishing on some spacelike hypersurface, such that if $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g$ satisfies Einstein equations with some physically reasonable energy momentum tensor, then $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g$ also satisfies Einstein equations with possibly different, but still physically reasonable energy momentum tensor.
- Similar, but seems to me simpler, than a problem of Brinkman, who in 1925 asked a question 'when in a conformal class of metrics can be two different Einstein metrics?'. Brinkman found all such metrics.
- Why not to start with conformally flat situation (reasonable, because compatible with the cosmological principle/FLRW paradigm), and (various) perfect fluids?

• From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$

• It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

 $g = \Omega^2(\eta) \Big(-d\eta^2 + r_0^2 \big(d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \big) \Big),$ e. $g = \Omega^2(\eta) g_{Einst}.$

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric g satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$ with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}} \text{ if } w \neq -\frac{1}{3},$$

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

Polytrope perfect fluids in FLRW models

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

 $g = \Omega^2(\eta) \Big(-d\eta^2 + r_0^2 \Big(d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \Big) \Big),$ e. $g = \Omega^2(\eta) g_{Einst}.$

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric g satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$ with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}} \text{ if } w \neq -\frac{1}{3},$$

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

• From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$

• It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

 $g = \Omega^2(\eta) \Big(-\mathrm{d}\eta^2 + r_0^2 \big(\mathrm{d}\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\phi^2)\big) \Big),$ e. $g = \Omega^2(\eta)g_{Einst}.$

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric g satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$ with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}}$$
 if $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$,

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric g satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$ with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}} \text{ if } w \neq -\frac{1}{3},$$

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

$$g = \Omega^2(\eta) \Big(-d\eta^2 + r_0^2 \big(d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \big) \Big),$$

$$g = \Omega^2(\eta) g_{\text{Einst}}.$$

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric g satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$ with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}}$$
 if $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$,

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric g satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$ with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}} \text{ if } w \neq -\frac{1}{3},$$

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric *g* satisfying **Einstein's equations**

with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \Big(\sin^2 rac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0}\Big)^{rac{1}{1+3w}}$$
 if $w \neq -rac{1}{3}$,

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric *g* satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$

with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

→ → □ → → 三 → → 三 → つへで

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \Big(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \Big)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}} \text{ if } w \neq -\frac{1}{3},$$

and

 $\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta)$ if $w = -\frac{1}{2}$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric *g* satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$

with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}} \text{ if } w \neq -\frac{1}{3},$$

and

 $\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta)$ if $w = -\frac{1}{2}$
- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

 $g = \Omega^2(\eta) \Big(-d\eta^2 + r_0^2 \big(d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \big) \Big),$ i.e. $g = \Omega^2(\eta) g_{Einst}.$

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric *g* satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$

with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■▶ ■ ● のへで

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \left(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}}$$
 if $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$,

and

 $\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta)$ if $w = -\frac{1}{2}$

- From now on I restrict myself to FLRW metrics with $\kappa = 1$, $g = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$
- It is convenient to introduce a **conformal time** $\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ so that the FLRW metric looks

 $g = \Omega^2(\eta) \Big(-d\eta^2 + r_0^2 \big(d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \big) \Big),$ i.e. $g = \Omega^2(\eta) g_{Einst}.$

• This parametrization is very convenient since taking $u = -\Omega(\eta) d\eta$, the most general FLRW metric *g* satisfying **Einstein's equations**

 $Ric - \frac{1}{2}Rg = (\mu + p)u \otimes u + pg$

with **polytropic equation of state** $p = w\mu$, w = const, is given by

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \Big(\sin^2 \frac{(1+3w)\eta}{2r_0} \Big)^{\frac{1}{1+3w}}$$
 if $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$,

and

$$\Omega(\eta) = \Omega_0 \exp(b\eta) \text{ if } W = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

 $g = \frac{1}{2}g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

(日) 13/20

 $g = \frac{1}{2}g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

(日) 13/20

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum

 $\ddot{g} = \frac{1}{2}g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

(日) 13/20

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{W}\check{\mu}$, $\check{W} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure $\hat{\rho}$ and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{\rho} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

The **Ricci sclar** of the metric \check{g} is

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{W}\check{\mu}, \check{W} = const$, then

 $g = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure $\hat{\rho}$ and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{\rho} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

The **Ricci sclar** of the metric \check{g} is

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure $\hat{\rho}$ and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{\rho} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

The Ricci sclar of the metric ğ is

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with **the energy momentum tensor** \hat{T} **of a perfect fluid**, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

The **Ricci sclar** of the metric \check{g} is

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure $\hat{\rho}$ and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{\rho} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})\,.$$

The Ricci sclar of the metric ğ is

Theorem

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})\,.$$

13/20

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w}).$$

13/20

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})\,.$$

<ロ> <日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 0 < 0 13/20

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w}=-\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})\,.$$

The **Ricci sclar** of the metric **ğ** is

13/20

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w} = -\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})$$

The **Ricci sclar** of the metric **ğ** is

 $R = \frac{3(1-3\check{w})}{\Omega_0^2 r_0^2 \left(\sin^6 \frac{(1+3\check{w})\eta}{2c}\right)^{\frac{1+w}{1+3\check{w}}}} \text{ if } \check{w} \neq -1/3 \text{ and } R = \frac{6(1+b^2r_0^2)}{\Omega_0^2 r_0^2 \exp(2b\eta)} \text{ if } \check{w} = -1/3,$ <ロト < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > 、 目 > へ 0 < 0 13/20

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = rac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ s

satisfies Einstein's equations, with
$$\Lambda = 0$$
, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w} = -\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})$$

The Ricci sclar of the metric ğ is

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $|\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}|$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w} = -\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})$$

The **Ricci sclar** of the metric **ğ** is

If $\Omega = \Omega(\eta)$ is such that $[\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}]$ satisfies Einstein's equations, with $\Lambda = 0$, and with the energy momentum tensor \check{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \check{p} is proportional to the energy density $\check{\mu}$, via $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, $\check{w} = const$, then

 $\hat{g} = rac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ s

satisfies Einstein's equations, with
$$\Lambda = 0$$
, and

with the energy momentum tensor \hat{T} of a perfect fluid, whose presure \hat{p} and the energy density $\hat{\mu}$ are related by $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$ with

$$\hat{w} = -\frac{1}{3}(2+3\check{w})$$

The Ricci sclar of the metric **ğ** is







Suspiscious points: $\check{w} = -1, 1/3$ (cosmological constant - radiation), since the scalar curvature R = 0, when $\check{w} = 1/3$; and $\check{w} = -1/3$ (gas of strings), when $\Omega \neq 0$ on \mathscr{I} .



Suspiscious points: $\dot{w} = -1, 1/3$ (cosmological constant - radiation), since the scalar curvature R = 0, when $\dot{w} = 1/3$; and $\dot{w} = -1/3$ (gas of strings), when $\Omega \neq 0$ on \mathscr{I} .



Suspiscious points: $\dot{w} = -1, 1/3$ (cosmological constant - radiation), since the scalar curvature R = 0, when $\dot{w} = 1/3$; and $\ddot{w} = -1/2$ (case of strings) when $0 \neq 0$ on \mathcal{I}



Suspiscious points: $\dot{w} = -1, 1/3$ (cosmological constant - radiation), since the scalar curvature R = 0, when $\dot{w} = 1/3$; and $\dot{w} = -1/3$ (gas of strings), when $\Omega \neq 0$ on \mathscr{I} .



Suspiscious points: $\dot{w} = -1, 1/3$ (cosmological constant - radiation), since the scalar curvature R = 0, when $\dot{w} = 1/3$; and $\dot{w} = -1/3$ (gas of strings), when $\Omega \neq 0$ on \mathscr{I} .

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{s}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{3^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{Einst}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{s}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{33} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{Einst}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{s_3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + t_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{s^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0\Omega\Omega' = -(1+3w)(1+r_0\Omega') + (1+w)\Lambda r_0\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{s^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{\rho} = \hat{w}\hat{\rho}$, the

 From the Einstein's equations for ĝ we easily calculate ŵ, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the
- From the Einstein's equations for ĝ we easilly calculate ŵ, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easilly calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

neccessary condition for both Ω and Ω^{-1}

the polytropes, is that **either** one of the Λ s is zero, **or** \breve{W} is of the 'radiation- Λ ' type.
- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

Thus, a neccessary condition for both Ω and Ω⁻¹ to describe the polytropes, is that either one of the Λs is zero, or *W* is of the 'radiation-Λ' type.

- We come back to the FLRW metric $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)r_0^2g_{s^3}$.
- We write it as $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\Omega^2(t)} + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right)$, so that it is clear that $\check{g} = \Omega^2(t) g_{\text{Einst}}$.
- Then the condition that \check{g} satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\check{u} = -dt$, $\check{p} = \check{w}\check{\mu}$, and the cosmological constant $\check{\Lambda}$, is equivalent to the following ODE for Ω : $2r_0^2\Omega\Omega'' = -(1+3\check{w})(1+r_0^2\Omega'^2) + (1+\check{w})\check{\Lambda}r_0^2\Omega^2$.
- We want that $\check{w} = const$ and that $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} g_{Einst}$ satisfies perfect fluid Eisntein's equations with $\hat{u} = -\frac{dt}{\Omega^2}$, $\hat{p} = \hat{w}\hat{\mu}$, the cosmological constant $\hat{\Lambda}$, and $\hat{w} = const$.
- From the Einstein's equations for *ĝ* we easily calculate *ŵ*, and forcing it to be constant, because of the above ODE satisfied by Ω, we find that it is possible provided that:

 $\check{\Lambda}\hat{\Lambda}(1+\check{w})(1-3\check{w})=0.$

• Thus, a **neccessary condition** for both Ω and Ω^{-1} to describe the polytropes, is that **either** one of the Λ s is zero, **or** \breve{w} is of the 'radiation- Λ ' type.

- Considering the case $\tilde{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)}{\chi_{z^2}}$

- has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- Colloquially speaking incoherent radiation passes happily through the wound. However, cosmological constants can change from any positive value to any other one. Ha...

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}t) - 2t_0^2\sqrt{\lambda\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}t)}{2}$
 - has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- Colloquially speaking incoherent radiation passes happily through the wound. However, cosmological constants can change from any positive value to any other one. Ha...

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that remarkably $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)}{\chi_{2}^2}$
 - has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- Colloquially speaking incoherent radiation passes happily through the wound. However, cosmological constants can change from any positive value to any other one. Ha...

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t)}{\chi_{2}^2}$
 - has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.
- Colloquially speaking incoherent radiation passes happily through the wound. However, cosmological constants can change from any positive value to any other one. Ha...

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- Theorem. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)-2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

$$\Omega^{2} = \frac{3 - 3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\check{\Lambda}}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\check{\Lambda}\check{\Lambda}}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\check{\Lambda}}{3}}t)}{\check{\Lambda}r_{0}^{2}}$$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^{2} = \frac{3 - 3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\Lambda}}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{3}}t)}{\tilde{\lambda}r_{c}^{2}}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^{2} = \frac{3 - 3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\Lambda}}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{3}}t)}{\bar{\lambda}r_{c}^{2}}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^{2} = \frac{3 - 3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\lambda\hat{\Lambda}}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t)}{\lambda r_{c}^{2}}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^{2} = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\Lambda\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)}{\Lambda r_{c}^{2}}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants Λ and Λ . Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^{2} = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\Lambda\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)}{\Lambda r_{c}^{2}}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants Λ and Λ . Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t)}{\lambda r_c^2}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t)}{\lambda r_0^2}$ has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid

equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by: $\Omega^{2} = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_{0}^{2}\sqrt{\Lambda\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t)}{\Lambda r_{c}^{2}}$

has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t)}{\lambda r_0^2}$ has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and with the corresponding cosmological constants $\check{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$. Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S3}$.

- Considering the case $\dot{w} = 1/3$, one shows that **remarkably** $\hat{w} = 1/3$ (generalization of the result of **Paul Tod**). More explicitly this case can be integrated to the very end.
- **Theorem**. The function $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ given by:

 $\Omega^2 = \frac{3-3\cosh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t) - 2r_0^2\sqrt{\lambda}\hat{\Lambda}\sinh(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t)}{\lambda r_0^2}$ has the property that both $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_{Einst}$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_{Einst}$ satisfy Einstein's equations with polytropic perfect fluid equation of state, for which $\hat{w} = \check{w} = 1/3$ (radiation), and

with the corresponding cosmological constants Λ and Λ . Here $g_{Einst} = -\Omega^{-2} dt^2 + r_0^2 g_{S^3}$.

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^i$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) Bianchi models: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable t is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = S^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = S^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = S^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = S^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly satisfying some interesting equations, or as in the previous case symmetry conditions). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly satisfying some interesting equations, or as in the previous case symmetry conditions). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly satisfying some interesting equations, or as in the previous case symmetry conditions). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $g = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $g = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\tilde{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\tilde{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

- Consider (special) **Bianchi models**: $\check{g} = -dt^2 + \Omega^2(t)h_{ij}\sigma^i\sigma^j$, where σ^i , i, j = 1, 2, 3, are left invariant forms on a 3-dimensional Lie group *G*, and (h_{ij}) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Here the Universe manifold is $M = \mathbb{R} \times G$, and the time variable *t* is along the \mathbb{R} factor. For each **Bianchi type** of *G*, decide which metric should play the role of g_{Einst} . In other words: find a preferred basis σ^i of the left invariant forms on *G*, so that the counterpart of g_{Einst} is $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + (\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2$; and then play the game with $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$, similar to this I was describing in this talk. My game was **Bianchi IX**, i.e. I took $G = SU(2) = \mathbb{S}^3$.
- More generally, take as g_E the metric $g_E = -\Omega^{-2}(t)dt^2 + g_S$, where $M = R \times S$, and (S, g_S) is a 3D Riemannian manifold (possibly **satisfying** some **interesting equations**, or as in the previous case **symmetry conditions**). Again play the game with polytropic perfect fluids for $\check{g} = \Omega^2 g_E$ and $\hat{g} = \Omega^{-2} g_E$

Literature

- **H. W. Brinkman** (1925), 'Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other', *Math. Ann.* **94**, 119-145
- P. Tod (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', Gen. Rel. Grav. 47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7
- **P. Tod** (2018), 'Conformal methods in General Relativity with application to Conformal Cyclic Cosmology: A minicourse at IX International Meeting on Lorentz Geometry held in Warsaw' (ask Paul Tod for a copy)
- K. Meissner, P. Nurowski (2017), 'Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe', *Phys. Rev. D* 95, Issue 8, 84016, 1-5.
- H. W. Brinkman (1925), 'Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other', *Math. Ann.* 94, 119-145
- P. Tod (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', Gen. Rel. Grav. 47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7
- **P. Tod** (2018), 'Conformal methods in General Relativity with application to Conformal Cyclic Cosmology: A minicourse at IX International Meeting on Lorentz Geometry held in Warsaw' (ask Paul Tod for a copy)
- K. Meissner, P. Nurowski (2017), 'Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe', *Phys. Rev. D* 95, Issue 8, 84016, 1-5.

- H. W. Brinkman (1925), 'Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other', *Math. Ann.* 94, 119-145
- P. Tod (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', Gen. Rel. Grav. 47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7
- **P. Tod** (2018), 'Conformal methods in General Relativity with application to Conformal Cyclic Cosmology: A minicourse at IX International Meeting on Lorentz Geometry held in Warsaw' (ask Paul Tod for a copy)
- K. Meissner, P. Nurowski (2017), 'Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe', *Phys. Rev. D* 95, Issue 8, 84016, 1-5.

- H. W. Brinkman (1925), 'Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other', *Math. Ann.* 94, 119-145
- P. Tod (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', Gen. Rel. Grav. 47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7
- P. Tod (2018), 'Conformal methods in General Relativity with application to Conformal Cyclic Cosmology: A minicourse at IX International Meeting on Lorentz Geometry held in Warsaw' (ask Paul Tod for a copy)
- K. Meissner, P. Nurowski (2017), 'Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe', *Phys. Rev. D* 95, Issue 8, 84016, 1-5.

- H. W. Brinkman (1925), 'Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other', *Math. Ann.* 94, 119-145
- P. Tod (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', Gen. Rel. Grav. 47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7
- **P. Tod** (2018), 'Conformal methods in General Relativity with application to Conformal Cyclic Cosmology: A minicourse at IX International Meeting on Lorentz Geometry held in Warsaw' (ask Paul Tod for a copy)
- K. Meissner, P. Nurowski (2017), 'Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe', *Phys. Rev. D* 95, Issue 8, 84016, 1-5.

- H. W. Brinkman (1925), 'Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other', *Math. Ann.* 94, 119-145
- P. Tod (2015), 'The equations of Conformal Cyclic Cosmology', Gen. Rel. Grav. 47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1859-7
- **P. Tod** (2018), 'Conformal methods in General Relativity with application to Conformal Cyclic Cosmology: A minicourse at IX International Meeting on Lorentz Geometry held in Warsaw' (ask Paul Tod for a copy)
- K. Meissner, P. Nurowski (2017), 'Conformal transformations and the beginning of the Universe', *Phys. Rev. D* 95, Issue 8, 84016, 1-5.

THANK YOU!