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## Plan of the series of talks:

First part:

- $d=11$ Supergravity
- Detour on Lie superalgebras (including the Poincaré superalgebra)
- Killing spinor equations and Killing superalgebras
- Brane solutions

Second part:

- Homogeneity theorem
- Filtered deformations
- Spencer cohomology and Killing spinors
- Maximally supersymmetric backgrounds

Third part:

- Rudiments of spinorial algebra \& spin geometry
- PDEs on spinor bilinears
- Highly supersymmetric backgrounds

Rudiments of spinorial algebra \& spin geometry
Let $(V, \eta)$ be a vector space with a positive-definite inner product. The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C} \ell(V)$ associated to $(V, \eta)$ is associative algebra with a unity generated by $V$ with the relation $v^{2}=-\eta(v, v) 1$ for all $v \in V$.
Rem I. By polarization, the Clifford relations are equivalent to

$$
v \cdot w+w \cdot v=-2 \eta(v, w)
$$

for all $v, w \in V$. It follows that $\mathcal{C} \ell(V)=\mathcal{C} \ell(V)_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathcal{C} \ell(V)_{\overline{1}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded algebra. Rem II. If $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V$, then

$$
e_{i} \cdot e_{j}= \begin{cases}-e_{j} \cdot e_{i} & \text { if } i \neq j \\ -1 & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

Setting the alternating product of generators

$$
e_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}:=\frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p}}(-1)^{|\sigma|} e_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{i_{\sigma(p)}}
$$

gives a vector space isomorphism $\mathcal{C} \ell(V) \cong \Lambda^{\bullet} V=\mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus \Lambda^{2} V \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda^{m} V$.

## Exercises on Clifford algebras

Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
v \cdot \alpha & =v \wedge \alpha-\imath_{v} \alpha  \tag{1}\\
\alpha \cdot v & =(-1)^{|\alpha|}\left(v \wedge \alpha+\imath_{v} \alpha\right)  \tag{2}\\
(v \wedge w) \cdot \alpha & =v \wedge w \wedge \alpha+\imath_{v} \imath_{w} \alpha-v \wedge \imath_{w} \alpha+w \wedge \imath_{v} \alpha  \tag{3}\\
\alpha \cdot(v \wedge w) & =v \wedge w \wedge \alpha+\imath_{v} \imath_{w} \alpha+v \wedge \imath_{w} \alpha-w \wedge \imath_{v} \alpha \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v, w \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda^{\bullet} V$.

Table II. $\mathrm{C}_{r, s}$ in the box $(r, s)$

| 8 | $\mathbb{R}(16)$ | $\mathbb{C}(16)$ | M(16) | $\mathbb{H}(16) \oplus H(16)$ | $\mathbb{H}(32)$ | $\mathbb{C}(64)$ | $\mathbb{R}(128)$ | $\mathbb{R}(128) \oplus \mathbb{R}(128)$ | $\mathbb{R}(256)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\mathbb{C}(8)$ | H(8) | $H(8) \oplus H(8)$ | $M(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $\mathbb{P}(64)$ | $\mathbb{R}(64) \oplus \mathbb{R}(64)$ | $\mathbb{R}(128)$ | C(128) |
| 6 | $\mathbb{H}(4)$ | $\xrightarrow[H]{(4) \oplus} \oplus\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (4) }\end{array}\right.$ | H(8) | $\mathbb{C}(16)$ | $\mathbb{R}(32)$ | $\mathbb{R}(32) \oplus \mathbb{R}(32)$ | $\mathbb{R}(64)$ | $\mathbb{C}(64)$ | $\xrightarrow[H]{(64)}$ |
| 5 | $H(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)$ | $\mathbb{H}(4)$ | C(8) | $\mathbb{R}(16)$ | $\mathbb{P}(16) \oplus \mathbb{R}(16)$ | $\mathbb{R}(32)$ | $\mathbb{C}(32)$ | $\mathbb{H}(32)$ | $\mathscr{H}(32) \oplus \mathbb{H}(32)$ |
| 4 | H(2) | $\mathbb{C}(4)$ | $\mathbb{R}(8)$ | $\mathbb{R}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}(8)$ | $\mathbb{R}(16)$ | $\mathbb{C}(16)$ | $H(16)$ | $\mathbb{H}(16) \oplus \mathbb{H}(16)$ | $\mathbb{H}(32)$ |
| 3 | $\mathbb{C}(2)$ | $\mathbb{R}(4)$ | $\mathbb{R}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}(4)$ | $\mathbb{R}(8)$ | $\mathbb{C}(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $\mathfrak{H}(8) \oplus \mathbb{H}(8)$ | $\mathbb{H}(16)$ | $\mathbb{C}(32)$ |
| 2 | $\mathbb{R}(2)$ | $\mathbb{R}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}(2)$ | $\underline{P R}(4)$ | $\mathbb{C}(4)$ | $\mathbb{H}(4)$ | $\mathbb{H}(4) \oplus \mathbb{H}(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $\mathbb{C}(16)$ | $\mathbb{R}(32)$ |
| 1 | $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{R}(2)$ | $\mathbb{C}(2)$ | H(2) | $\mathbb{H}(2) \oplus \mathbb{H}(2)$ | $\mathbb{H}(4)$ | $\mathbb{C}(8)$ | $\mathbb{R}(16)$ | $\mathbb{R}(16) \oplus \mathbb{R}(16)$ |
| 0 | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{C}$ | H | $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathrm{H}^{( }$ | $\underline{H}(2)$ | $\mathbb{C}(4)$ | $\mathbb{R}$ (8) | $\mathbb{R}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}(8)$ | $\mathbb{R}(16)$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

Rudiments of spinorial algebra \& spin geometry

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Spin}(V) & =\left\{g=v_{1} \cdots v_{2 k} \mid v_{i} \in V \text { s.t } \eta\left(v_{i}, v_{i}\right)=+1\right\} \subset \mathcal{C} \ell(V) \\
\mathfrak{s o}(V) & \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \mathcal{C} \ell(V) \text { via } v \wedge w \mapsto \frac{1}{4}[v, w]=\frac{1}{4}(v \cdot w-w \cdot v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Important fact: $g \in \operatorname{Spin}(V), v \in V \Rightarrow g \cdot v \cdot g^{-1} \in V$. This is the 2-fold cover Ad : $\operatorname{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V)$ (archetipical example to have in mind: $\operatorname{Spin}(3) \cong \operatorname{Sp}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)=\mathrm{SO}(\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}))$

## Rudiments of spinorial algebra \& spin geometry

Let $(M, g)$ be an orientable Riemannian manifold and
$S O(M)=\left\{u: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow T_{x} M\right.$ orientation-preserving linear isomorphism s.t.

$$
\left.u^{*} g=\eta \mid x \in M\right\}
$$

the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames.
Def. A spin structure on $(M, g)$ is principal $\operatorname{Spin}(V)$-bundle $\operatorname{Spin}(M) \rightarrow M$ together with commutative diagram of bundle morphisms

which restricts fiberwise to $\operatorname{Ad}: \operatorname{Spin}(V) \rightarrow S O(V)$. The vector bundle $S(M)=\operatorname{Spin}(M) \times_{\operatorname{Spin}(V)} S$ is called spinor bundle $(S=$ irrep. of $\mathcal{C} \ell(V))$.

## Example

$$
M=S^{m} \cong \mathrm{SO}(m+1) / \mathrm{SO}(m) \cong \operatorname{Spin}(m+1) / \operatorname{Spin}(m)
$$



## Spinor fields satisfying special PDEs

Def. A spinor field $\epsilon \in \Gamma(S(M))$ is called

- parallel if $\nabla_{X} \epsilon=0 \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$;
- Killing if there is constant $\lambda$ such that $\nabla_{X} \epsilon=\lambda X \cdot \epsilon \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Thm[Friedrich '80s] If a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ has a non-trivial parallel spinor, then Ric $=0$.

Proof. Clearly $\nabla_{X} \epsilon=0 \Rightarrow R(X, Y) \epsilon=0$, where $R \in \Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \otimes \operatorname{End}(S(M))$, so the Clifford trace $0=4 \sum_{j} e_{j} \cdot R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \epsilon$. Now

$$
R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} e_{k} \wedge e_{l} \Rightarrow R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \epsilon=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} e_{k} \cdot e_{l} \cdot \epsilon
$$

and substituting into the Clifford trace leads to $0=\sum_{j, k, l} R_{i j k l} e_{j} \cdot e_{k} \cdot e_{l} \cdot \epsilon$.

## Spinor fields satisfying special PDEs

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{j, k, l} R_{i j k l} e_{j} \cdot e_{k} \cdot e_{l} \cdot \epsilon \\
& =\sum_{j, k, l} R_{i j k l}\left(e_{j k l}-\eta_{j k} e_{l}+\eta_{j l} e_{k}\right) \cdot \epsilon \\
& =\sum_{j, k, l} R_{i j k l}\left(e_{j k l}+2 \eta_{j l} e_{k}\right) \cdot \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term vanishes due to Bianchi Identity $R_{i j k l}+R_{i l j k}+R_{i k l j}=0$ so we are left with $0=-2 \sum_{j, k, l} R_{j i k l} \eta_{j l} e_{k} \cdot \epsilon=-2 \sum_{k} \operatorname{Ric}_{i k} e_{k} \cdot \epsilon$. Equivalently, if we look at the Ricci tensor as an endomorphism, we have $\operatorname{Ric}(X) \cdot \epsilon=0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, so that $\operatorname{Ric}(X)=0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, which is our claim $\square$

## Spinor fields satisfying special PDEs

Wang's classification of complete, simply connected, irreducible Riemannian manifolds admitting parallel spinors (1989):

| Holonomy Representation | Geometry | Parallel spinors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SU}(2 n+1)$ | Calabi-Yau | $(1,1)$ |
| $\mathrm{SU}(2 n)$ | Calabi-Yau | $(2,0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ | Hyper-Kähler | $(n+1,0)$ |
| $G_{2}(\subset \mathrm{SO}(7))$ | exceptional | 1 |
| $\operatorname{Spin}(7)(\subset \mathrm{SO}(8))$ | exceptional | 1 |

Thm[Bär, Baum '90s] If a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ has a non-trivial Killing spinor with Killing constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\operatorname{Ric}=4 \lambda^{2}(m-1) g$, i.e., $M$ is Einstein and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\lambda \in i \mathbb{R}$.

## Supergravity

Let $(M, g, F)$ be Lorentzian $m n f d \quad(M, g), \operatorname{dim} M=11$, with closed $F \in \Omega^{4}(M)$ and endowed with a spinor bundle $S(M) \longrightarrow M$ (the fiber $S(M)_{x} \cong S=\mathbb{R}^{32}$ ). The bosonic equations of supergravity are two coupled PDEs [Cremmer-Julia-Scherk '78]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} g\left(i_{X} F, i_{Y} F\right)-\frac{1}{6} g(X, Y)|F|^{2} \\
& d * F=\frac{1}{2} F \wedge F
\end{aligned}
$$

Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{k}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{0}}=\left\{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g=\mathcal{L}_{\xi} F=0\right\} \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}=\left\{\epsilon \in \Gamma(S(M)) \left\lvert\, \nabla_{X} \epsilon=\frac{1}{24}(X \cdot F-3 F \cdot X) \cdot \epsilon\right.\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## High supersymmetry

It has long been suspected that there is some critical fraction of supersymmetry which forces the equations of motion of supergravity. In 2017, we gave following positive answer:

Thm[Figueroa-O'Farrill, A.S. '17] Let $(M, g)$ be 11-dimensional Lorentzian mnfd with closed $F \in \Omega^{4}(M)$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}>16$, then (i) $(M, g, F)$ satisfies Einstein and Maxwell eqs (the bound is sharp) and (ii) $F=0$ iff $(M, g, F)$ is the flat model.

Main ingredients of the proof:
1 Filtered subdeformations,
2 PDEs satisfied by differential forms constructed out of Killing spinors,
3 the local homogeneity theorem.

## Killing superalgebras as filtered deformations

Thm[Figueroa-O'Farrill, A.S. '17] Any Killing superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is a filtered deformation of a graded subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}=V^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ of Poincaré superalgebra $\mathfrak{p}=V \oplus S \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(V)$.

Explicitly:

$$
\begin{align*}
{[A, B] } & =A B-B A & {[A, s] } & =A s \\
{[A, v] } & =A v+\delta(A, v) & {[v, s] } & =\beta^{\varphi}(v, s)+X_{v} s  \tag{5}\\
{[v, w] } & =\alpha(v, w)+\rho(v, w), & {[s, s] } & =\kappa(s, s)+\gamma^{\varphi}(s, s)-X_{\kappa(s, s)}
\end{align*}
$$

for $A, B \in \mathfrak{h}, v, w \in V^{\prime}, s \in S^{\prime}$, where
$\alpha(v, w)=X_{v} w-X_{w} v$
$\delta(A, v)=\left[A, X_{v}\right]-X_{A v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\varphi}(v, s) & =\frac{1}{24}(v \cdot \varphi-3 \varphi \cdot v) \cdot s, \\
\gamma^{\varphi}(s, s)(v) & =-2 \kappa\left(\beta^{\varphi}(v, s), s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rho(v, w)=\left[X_{v}, X_{w}\right]-X_{\alpha(v, w)}+R(v, w)$
for some $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant $\varphi \in \Lambda^{4} V$ and a map $X: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s o}(V)$.

## Jacobi Identities for Killing superalgebras

- $[\mathfrak{h h h}],\left[\mathfrak{h h} S^{\prime}\right],\left[\mathfrak{h h} V^{\prime}\right]$ are satisfied because $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s o}(V)$ that stabilizes $S^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$;
- $\left[\mathfrak{h} S^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[\mathfrak{h} S^{\prime} V^{\prime}\right]$ are satisfied as $\mathfrak{h}<\mathfrak{s o}(V) \cap \mathfrak{s t a b}(\varphi)$. E.g. for $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $s \in S^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[A,[s, s]] } & =\left[A, \kappa(s, s)+\gamma^{\varphi}(s, s)-X_{\kappa(s, s)}\right] \\
& =A \kappa(s, s)+\left[A, \gamma^{\varphi}(s, s)\right]-X_{A \kappa(s, s)} \\
& =2 \kappa(A s, s)+2 \gamma^{\varphi}(A s, s)-2 X_{\kappa(A s, s)}=2[[A, s], s]
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\kappa$ and $\gamma^{\varphi}$ are equivariant under $\mathfrak{s o}(V) \cap \mathfrak{s t a b}(\varphi)$;

- $\left[\mathfrak{h} V^{\prime} V^{\prime}\right]$ boilds down to $R: \Lambda^{2} V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s o}(V)$ being $\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant;
- $\left[S^{\prime} S^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right]$ says that $[[s, s], s]=0$ for all $s \in S^{\prime}$ and it expands to

$$
\gamma^{\varphi}(s, s) s=-\beta^{\varphi}(\kappa(s, s), s)
$$

This is actually true for all $s \in S$ (it is one cocycle condition in $H^{2,2}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-}, \mathfrak{p}\right)$ );

## Jacobi Identities for Killing superalgebras

- $\left[S^{\prime} S^{\prime} V^{\prime}\right]$ Jacobi Identity. After a somewhat lengthy calculation and letting $\beta_{v}^{\varphi}(s)=\beta^{\varphi}(v, s)$ for all $v \in V$ and $s \in S$, this identity is equivalent to
$\frac{1}{2} R(v, \kappa(s, s)) w=\kappa\left(\left(X_{v} \beta^{\varphi}\right)(w, s), s\right)-\kappa\left(\beta_{v}^{\varphi}(s), \beta_{w}^{\varphi}(s)\right)-\kappa\left(\beta_{w}^{\varphi} \beta_{v}^{\varphi}(s), s\right)$,
for all $s \in S^{\prime}, v \in V^{\prime}$ and $w \in V$;
- $\left[S^{\prime} V^{\prime} V^{\prime}\right]$ expands to the following condition

$$
R(v, w) s=\left(X_{v} \beta^{\varphi}\right)(w, s)-\left(X_{w} \beta^{\varphi}\right)(v, s)+\left[\beta_{v}^{\varphi}, \beta_{w}^{\varphi}\right](s),
$$

for all $s \in S^{\prime}$ and $v, w \in V^{\prime}$;

- $\left[V^{\prime} V^{\prime} V^{\prime}\right]$ expands to Bianchi Identities for $R$, algebraic and differential.


## PDEs on Spinor Bilinears

For any section $\varepsilon$ of $S(M)$ we may define differential forms on $M$ as follows:
$1 \omega^{(1)} \in \Omega^{1}(M)$, where

$$
\omega^{(1)}(X)=\langle\varepsilon, X \cdot \varepsilon\rangle
$$

$2 \omega^{(2)} \in \Omega^{2}(M)$, where

$$
\omega^{(2)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left\langle\varepsilon,\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{2}\right) \cdot \varepsilon\right\rangle
$$

$3 \omega^{(5)} \in \Omega^{5}(M)$, where

$$
\omega^{(5)}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{5}\right)=\left\langle\varepsilon,\left(X_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{5}\right) \cdot \varepsilon\right\rangle
$$

The 1-form $\omega^{(1)}$ is the metric dual of Dirac current $\kappa=\kappa(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ of $\varepsilon$.
Prop. If $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}$ then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \omega^{(2)}=-\imath_{\kappa} F  \tag{6}\\
& d \omega^{(5)}=\imath_{\kappa} \star F-\omega^{(2)} \wedge F . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

These imply that the supergravity Maxwell eqs are satisfied if $d F=0$ and the space $\mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}$ of Killing spinors has $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}>16$.

## Proof of PDEs on Spinor Bilinears

Proof.
We first rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{Z} \epsilon & =\frac{1}{24}(Z \cdot F-3 F \cdot Z) \cdot \epsilon \\
& =\frac{1}{24}\left(Z \wedge F-\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon-\frac{1}{8}\left(Z \wedge F+\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon \\
& =-\frac{1}{12}(Z \wedge F) \cdot \epsilon-\frac{1}{6}\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

and then compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{Z} \omega^{(2)}\right)(X, Y)= & \left\langle\nabla_{Z} \epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle+\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot \nabla_{Z} \epsilon\right\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle-\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{12}\langle(Z \wedge F) \cdot \epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot \epsilon\rangle-\frac{1}{12}\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot(Z \wedge F) \cdot \epsilon\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon,\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot X \wedge Y \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle-\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{12}\langle\epsilon,(Z \wedge F) \cdot X \wedge Y \cdot \epsilon\rangle-\frac{1}{12}\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \cdot(Z \wedge F) \cdot \epsilon\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again the exercise on Clifford multiplication we get

Proof of PDEs on Spinor Bilinears - continued

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{Z} \omega^{(2)}\right)(X, Y)= & -\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \wedge\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\epsilon, \imath_{X} \imath_{Y} \imath_{Z} F \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge \imath_{Y}(Z \wedge F) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle-\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon, Y \wedge \imath_{X}(Z \wedge F) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Y \wedge\left(\imath_{Z} F\right) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\epsilon, \imath_{X} \imath_{Y} \imath_{Z} F \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{6} g(Y, Z)\langle\epsilon, X \wedge F \cdot \epsilon\rangle-\frac{1}{6} g(X, Z)\langle\epsilon, Y \wedge F \cdot \epsilon\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon, X \wedge Z \wedge\left(\imath_{Y} F\right) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle+\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\epsilon, Y \wedge Z \wedge\left(\imath_{X} F\right) \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and skewsymmetrizing in $X, Y$ and $Z$ we finally arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega^{(2)}(X, Y, Z) & =\left(\nabla_{X} \omega^{(2)}\right)(Y, Z)+\left(\nabla_{Y} \omega^{(2)}\right)(Z, X)+\left(\nabla_{Z} \omega^{(2)}\right)(X, Y) \\
& =-\left\langle\epsilon, \imath_{X} \imath_{Y} \imath_{Z} F \cdot \epsilon\right\rangle=-\omega^{(1)}\left(\imath_{X} \imath_{Y} \imath_{Z} F\right)=-\imath_{\kappa} \imath_{X} \imath_{Y} \imath_{Z} F \\
& =-\left(\imath_{\kappa} F\right)(X, Y, Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $d \omega^{(2)}=-\imath_{\kappa} F$. Exercise: you are free to prove the identity for $d \omega^{(5)}$ in a similar fashion.

## Proof of PDEs on Spinor Bilinears - the end

Let us then prove that the Maxwell eqs are satisfied if $d F=0$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}>16$. We first compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\star \mathcal{L}_{\kappa} F=\mathcal{L}_{\kappa} \star F=d \imath_{\kappa} \star F+\imath_{k} d \star F \\
& =d\left(\omega^{(2)} \wedge F\right)+\imath_{\kappa} d \star F=d \omega^{(2)} \wedge F+\imath_{\kappa} d \star F \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \imath_{k}(F \wedge F)+\imath_{\kappa} d \star F=\imath_{\kappa}\left(d \star F-\frac{1}{2} F \wedge F\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and then use the local homogeneity theorem.

High supersymmetry
Thm[Figueroa-O'Farrill, A.S. '17] Let ( $M, g$ ) be 11-dimensional Lorentzian mnfd with closed $F \in \Omega^{4}(M)$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}>16$, then (i) $(M, g, F)$ satisfies Einstein and Maxwell eqs (the bound is sharp) and (ii) $F=0$ iff ( $M, g, F$ ) is the flat model.

## High supersymmetry

Thm[Figueroa-O'Farrill, A.S. '17] Let $(M, g)$ be 11-dimensional Lorentzian mnfd with closed $F \in \Omega^{4}(M)$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{k}_{\overline{1}}>16$, then (i) $(M, g, F)$ satisfies Einstein and Maxwell eqs (the bound is sharp) and (ii) $F=0$ iff ( $M, g, F$ ) is the flat model.
Sketch of proof of (i). The Jacobi identity $\left[S^{\prime} S^{\prime} V\right]$ in the filtered deformation gives

$$
\frac{1}{2} R(v, \kappa(s, s)) w=\kappa\left(\left(X_{v} \beta^{\varphi}\right)(w, s), s\right)-\kappa\left(\beta_{v}^{\varphi}(s), \beta_{w}^{\varphi}(s)\right)-\kappa\left(\beta_{w}^{\varphi} \beta_{v}^{\varphi}(s), s\right)
$$

for all $s \in S^{\prime}$ and $v, w \in V$. As $\kappa\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)=V$ by local homogeneity theorem, this fully determines the curvature $R$ and, by a further contraction, the Ricci tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(v, \kappa(s, s))=\frac{1}{2} g\left(\imath_{v} F, \imath_{e_{i}} F\right) & \left\langle s, e^{i} \cdot s\right\rangle-\frac{1}{6}\|F\|^{2}\langle s, v \cdot s\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\left(v \wedge F \wedge F+2 \iota_{v} \delta F-v \wedge d F\right) \cdot s, s\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We then showed that the terms which depend on forms of different degree in $\odot^{2} S^{\prime} \subset \odot^{2} S \cong \Lambda^{1} V \oplus \Lambda^{2} V \oplus \Lambda^{5} V$ satisfy the eqs separately (not immediate: this embedding is diagonal)

## Upshots

The theorem allows to establish a reconstruction result:

Def. A filtered subdeformation $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}>16$ is realizable if it is constructed out of a closed 4 -form $\varphi \in \Lambda^{4} V$ as in (5).

Reconstruction thm[Figueroa-O'Farrill, A.S. '17] The highly supersymmetric bgkds, up to local equivalence, are in a one-to-one correspondence with maximal realizable filtered subdeformations $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right]$, up to isomorphism of filtered subdeformations.
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