

Equivalence Problems
of
Geometric Structures

Tohru Morimoto
(Institut Kiyoshi Oka)

The lecture is based on:

①

- [1] B. Doubrov, Y. Machida, T. M____,
Extrinsic geometry and linear differential
equations, Sigma 17 (2021) 60 pages.
- [2] J. Hong, T. M____
Prolongations, invariants, and fundamental
identities, arXiv DG 22 Mar 2022, 64 pages

① Intrinsic geometry:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & ? \exists f & \\
 (X, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (X', \sigma') \\
 & \cong &
 \end{array}$$

② Extrinsic geometry

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 ? \exists & (X, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (\hat{X}, \hat{\sigma}) & = & L/L_0 & \\
 & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \hat{f} & & \downarrow & \wedge_a a \in L \\
 (f, \Lambda_a) & (X', \sigma') & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & (\hat{X}', \hat{\sigma}') & = & L'/L'_0 &
 \end{array}$$

Extrinsic geometry \approx linear diff. equations

Intrinsic geometry \approx non-linear diff. eqns.

Wie Klein

Cartan, Ehresmann, Chern

Kuranishi, Spencer

Singer, Strubberg, Gantkamin, Goldschmidt

Tanaka, Murata, Se-ashi

Def. Filtered manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) :

(4)

a diff. mfd. M equipped with a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{ \mathcal{F}^p \}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$
such that

$$i) \quad TM = \mathcal{F}^{-\mu} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}^p \supset \mathcal{F}^{p+1} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}^{-1} \supset \mathcal{F}^0 = 0,$$

$$ii) \quad [\mathcal{F}^p, \mathcal{F}^q] \subset \mathcal{F}^{p+q}, \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

depth $\mu \geq 1$,

if $\mu = 1$, then trivial = diff. mfd itself.

(5)

$(M, \mathcal{F}) \ni x \mapsto \mathfrak{g}_x \mathcal{F}_x$: a nilpotent graded Lie alg.
(symbol algebra at x)

$$\mathfrak{g}_x \mathcal{F}_x = \bigoplus_{p < 0} \mathfrak{g}_p \mathcal{F}_x, \quad \mathfrak{g}_p \mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{F}_x^p / \mathcal{F}_x^{p+1}$$

$$[\mathfrak{g}_p \mathcal{F}_x, \mathfrak{g}_q \mathcal{F}_x] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p+q} \mathcal{F}_x$$

Def (M, \mathcal{F}) is of constant symbol of type $\mathfrak{g}_- = \bigoplus_{p < 0} \mathfrak{g}_p$
if $\mathfrak{g}_x \mathcal{F}_x \cong \mathfrak{g}_-$ as graded Lie algebra for $\forall x \in M$.

(6)

X : a (germ of) local vector field on
a filtered mfd (M, \mathcal{F})

Def: weighted order of $X \leq k$ ($k \geq 0$)
if $X \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{-k}$.

Then we can speak of:

- weighted order of diff. op.
- weighted jet bundle.

§2 Algebraic aspects
 on nilpotent geometry

(7)

a space: (M, \mathcal{F}, σ)

a figure: $\mathcal{G}: (M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^0 \subset \text{Fly}(V, \phi) = \text{GL}(V, \phi) / \text{GL}(V, \phi)^0$

\mathcal{G} : a homomorphism
 of filtered mfd's $\iff \mathcal{G}$: an escalating map
 i.e. $\mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{G}^i \subset \mathcal{G}^{p+i}$

where $(V, \phi = \{\phi^i\})$ is a filtered vector space $\mathcal{G}^i \subset V_x/Y$
 In $x \in M$, $\mathcal{G}(x) = \{\mathcal{G}^i(x)\}$

Algebraic elements

intrinsic geometry ... $TGLA$ $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{G}_p$

transitive: $(\mathcal{G} \mathcal{G}^-)_k = 0 \quad (k \geq 0)$

Extrinsic geometry $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V, \phi)$

In the case $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{K}(V, \phi)$

$\mathcal{G} \subset \mathfrak{K}(V, \phi)$

or $(\mathcal{G}, (V, \phi))$

$\mathcal{G}: TGLA$
 $(V, \phi):$ graded \mathfrak{g} -module

Transitive structures

(9)

Intrinsic geometry

$(A, \{AP\})$: FLA s.t. $g_2 A = g \iff H_+^2(g, \eta)$

Extrinsic geometry

$A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $g_2 A = g \iff H_+^1(g, \frac{2}{g})$
filtered subalgebra

Spencer cohomology group

(10)

$\mathfrak{g}_- = \bigoplus_{p < 0} \mathfrak{g}_p : \text{GLA}$, $U = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} U_i : \text{graded } \mathfrak{g}_- \text{-module}$

Then $H_r^p(\mathfrak{g}_-, U)$: the cohomology gp associated with $(\mathfrak{g}_p U_i \subset U_{p+i})$

$$0 \rightarrow U_r \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_-, U)_r \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_-, U)_r \rightarrow \dots$$

Theorem (finitude). Assume U transitive (i.e., $U_{\geq 0}^{\mathfrak{g}_-} = 0$)

$$\exists r_0 \text{ s.t. } H_r^p(\mathfrak{g}_-, U) = 0 \quad \forall r \geq r_0, \forall p$$

§3. G -structures (geometric structures) ⁽¹⁰⁾ of order 0

Assume that

(M, \mathcal{F}) is of type \mathcal{G}_- .

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}^{(0)}(M, \mathcal{F}) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{G}_0(\mathcal{G}_-) \\ (M, \mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

For $x \in M$,

$$\mathcal{S}^{(0)}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \left\{ z \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_z/x \\ \text{GLA iso} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0(\mathcal{G}_-) = \text{Der}_0(\mathcal{F})$$

$$S^{(0)}(M, \mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow Q^{(0)}$$

$$\downarrow G_0(g_-) \quad \downarrow G_0$$

$$(M, \mathbb{F}) \quad \xrightarrow{?} \quad (M, \mathbb{F})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathbb{F}P)_0 : & Q^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Q'^{(n)} \\
 & \downarrow G_0 & & \downarrow G_0 \\
 & (M, \mathbb{F}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (M', \mathbb{F}')
 \end{array}$$

G -str. in the sense of nilpotent geometry



§4. W -normal step prolongation

(12)

0. Start: $\mathcal{Q}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(0)}(M, \mathbb{F}) : \mathbb{G}$ -structure
 $\downarrow \mathbb{G}_0$
 (M, \mathbb{F})

1. Take the prolongation $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_p$ of \mathfrak{g}_0 ;
 the maximal TELA extending \mathfrak{g}_0 , i.e., for $k > 0$,
 $\mathfrak{g}_k = \left\{ \alpha \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_-^{+ \dots + \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}})_k ; \text{ for } \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{g}_-, \right.$
 $\left. [\alpha(u), v] + [u, \alpha(v)] - \alpha[u, v] = 0 \right\}$

2. Choose and fix: $W = \{W_r^1, W_r^2\}_{r>0}$ s.t. (B)

$$\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_r = \mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{g}_r \oplus W_r^1, \quad \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_r = \mathfrak{z} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_r \oplus W_r^2$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_r \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z}} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_r \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z}} \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_r \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z}} \dots$$

3. Construct: $(Q^{(\infty)}, \theta^{(\infty)}, \gamma^{(\infty)}) = (Q, \theta, \gamma)$

$$(M, f) \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{z}_0} Q^{(0)} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow Q^{(k-1)} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{z}_k} Q^{(k)} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow Q^{(\infty)}$$

$$\theta: TQ \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z}} \mathfrak{g}, \quad d\theta + \frac{1}{2} \gamma(\theta, \theta) = 0, \quad \gamma: Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$$

$$(S^{(n)}(M, \mathbb{1}), \mathbb{H})$$

$$(S^{(n)}(Q^{(n)}), \mathbb{H})$$

$$(S^{(n)}(Q^{(n)}), \mathbb{H})$$

$$(Q^{\infty}, \theta)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$S^{(n)}(M, \mathbb{1})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$S^{(n)}(Q^{(n)}) \supset Q^{(n)}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$Q^{(n)} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(M, \mathbb{1})$$

$$\leftarrow G_0$$

$$\leftarrow \mathbb{F}_1$$

§5. Fundamental identities.

(15)

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \partial \kappa[k] &= \bar{\Psi}_I (\kappa_{[i]}, \tau_{[i]}; i < k) \\ \partial \tau[k] &= \bar{\Psi}_{II} (\kappa_{[i]}, \tau_{[i]}, \sigma_{[i]}; i < k) \\ \partial \sigma[k] &= \bar{\Psi}_{III} (\tau_{[i]}, \sigma_{[i]}; i < k) \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$\kappa = \delta_I : \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})\text{-component}$$

$$\tau = \delta_{II} : \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}) \quad \because \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\sigma = \delta_{III} : \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}, \mathfrak{g})$$

$$\gamma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$$

(16)

Write $\gamma = \sum \gamma_{ij}^k$, where $\gamma_{ij}^k: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_i \otimes \mathcal{F}_j, \mathcal{F}_k)$

$$\deg \gamma_{ij}^k = k - i - j$$

$$\tilde{\deg} \gamma = k - \tilde{i} - \tilde{j}$$

$$(\tilde{i} = \text{Min}\{-1, i\})$$

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{\deg = r} \gamma_{ij}^k$$

$$\gamma_{[s]} = \sum_{\tilde{\deg} = s} \gamma_{ij}^k$$

Theorem 4.1 (Fundamental identities).

28

(1) For $X \in \mathfrak{g}_x$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_y$, and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_z$, where $x, y, z < 0$, and for a nonnegative integer k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial\kappa_{[k]})(X, Y, Z) \\ &= \mathfrak{S}_{X, Y, Z} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d_1 + d_2 = k \\ d_1, d_2 > 0}} \{ \kappa_{[d_1]}(\kappa_{[d_2]}(X, Y)_-, Z) + \tau_{(d_1)[k+x+y+1]}(\kappa_{[d_2]}(X, Y)_+, Z) \} - D_X \kappa_{[k+x]}(Y, Z) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For $X \in \mathfrak{g}_x$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_y$, and $A \in \mathfrak{g}_a$, where $x, y < 0$ and $a \geq 0$, and for $k = d + a + 1$, where d is a nonnegative integer, we have

(1) For $X \in \mathfrak{g}_x$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_y$, and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_z$, where $x, y, z < 0$, and for a nonnegative integer k , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\partial \kappa_{[k]})(X, Y, Z) \\
 = & \mathfrak{S}_{X, Y, Z} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d_1 + d_2 = k \\ d_1, d_2 > 0}} \left\{ \kappa_{[d_1]}(\kappa_{[d_2]}(X, Y)_-, Z) + \tau_{(d_1)[k+x+y+1]}(\kappa_{[d_2]}(X, Y)_+, Z) \right\} - D_X \kappa_{[k+x]}(Y, Z) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) For $X \in \mathfrak{g}_x$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_y$, and $A \in \mathfrak{g}_a$, where $x, y < 0$ and $a \geq 0$, and for $k = d + a + 1$, where d is a nonnegative integer, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\partial \tau_{(d)[k]}(A, \cdot))(X, Y) \\
 = & (D_A \kappa_{[k-1]} + \rho(A) \kappa_{[d]})(X, Y) - \mathcal{A}_{X, Y} \tau_{(d)[k+x]}([A, X]_+, Y) + [\kappa_{[d]}(X, Y)_+, A] - \sigma_0(\kappa_{[d]}(X, Y)_+, A) \\
 & - \sum_{\substack{\delta_1 + \delta_2 = d \\ -a \leq \delta_1 < d \text{ and } \delta_1 \neq 0 \\ 0 < \delta_2 \leq d + a = k - 1}} \left\{ \tau_{(d_1)[k-1]}(\kappa_{[\delta_2]}(X, Y)_-, A) + \sigma_{(\delta_1)[k+x+y+1]}(\kappa_{[\delta_2]}(X, Y)_+, A) \right\} \\
 & + \mathcal{A}_{X, Y} \sum_{\substack{d_1 + d_2 = d \\ d_1, d_2 > 0}} \left\{ \kappa_{[d_1]}(\tau_{(d_2)[k-d_1]}(A, X)_-, Y) + \tau_{(d_1)[k+x]}(\tau_{(d_2)[k-d_1]}(A, X)_+, Y) \right\} \\
 & + \mathcal{A}_{X, Y} D_Y \tau_{(d+y)[k+y]}(A, X).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$a_1, a_2 > 0$$

$$+ \mathcal{A}_{X,Y} D_Y \tau_{(d+y)[k+y]}(A, X).$$

(3) For $A_a \in \mathfrak{g}_a$, $B \in \mathfrak{g}_b$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_x$, where $a, b \geq 0$ and $x < 0$, and for $k = d + a + b + 2$, where d is an integer, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\sigma_{(d)[k]}(A, B), X] \\ = & \mathcal{A}_{A,B} \sum_{\substack{d_1+d_2=d \\ 0 \leq d_2 \leq d+a}} \left\{ \sigma_{(d_1)[k+x-d_2]}(A, \tau_{(d_2)[k-1-(d_1+a)]}(B, X)_+) \right. \\ & \left. + \tau_{(d_1)[k-1-(d_2+b)]}(A, \tau_{(d_2)[k-1-(d_1+a)]}(B, X)_-) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{\delta_1+\delta_2=d \\ 0 < \delta_1 \leq d+\min(a,b)}} \tau_{(\delta_1)[k-1]}(X, \sigma_{(\delta_2)[k-\delta_1]}(A, B)) \\ & + D_X \sigma_{(d+x)[k+x]}(A, B) + \mathcal{A}_{A,B} D_A \tau_{(d+a)[k-1]}(B, X). \end{aligned}$$

I

(4) For $A \in \mathfrak{g}_a$, $B \in \mathfrak{g}_b$, $C \in \mathfrak{g}_c$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$, and for $k = d + a + b + c + 3$, where d is an integer, we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{A,B,C} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d_1+d_2=d \\ -\min\{a,b\} \leq d_2 \leq d+c}} \sigma_{(d_1)[k-1]}(\sigma_{(d_2)[k-1-c-d_1]}(A, B), C) - D_C \sigma_{(d+c)[k-1]}(A, B) \right\} = 0.$$

Fundamental identities in lower orders: (1)

$$(1) \quad \partial \kappa_1 = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \partial \kappa_2 = \kappa_1 \circ \kappa_1 - \sum_{p < 0} D_{\kappa_p} \kappa_{2+p}$$

$$(3) \quad \partial \mathcal{C}_{[2]}(A_0, \cdot) = D_{A_0} \kappa_1 + \rho(A_0) \kappa_1, \quad A_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0.$$

The other identities for order ≤ 2 are trivial.

Recall that: $\kappa_{[k]} = \kappa_k$, $\mathcal{C}_{[k]}(A, \cdot): \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{k-1}$ - valued.

$$\mathcal{C}_{[1]}(A_0, \lambda) = [A_0, \lambda], \quad \text{for } A_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \lambda \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Theorem 1 The equivalence problem of $Q^{(0)}$ (17)
reduces to that of $(S_W Q^{(0)}, \theta)$, where $S_W Q^{(0)} = Q^{(0)}$.

Let $I = \{ r > 0; H_r^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) \neq 0 \}$. Then

Theorem 2. $\{ \kappa_i, D^{\ell} \kappa_i \}_{i \in I, \ell \geq 0}$ form
a fundamental system of invariants.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathfrak{g}_- = \bigoplus_{p < 0} \mathfrak{g}_p$ be a nilpotent graded Lie algebra and G_0 a Lie subgroup of $G_0(\mathfrak{g}_-) (= \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_-))$. Let \mathcal{G} be the prolongation of $\mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$. If $H_+^2(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then all G_0 -structure $Q^{(0)} \xrightarrow{G_0} (M, \mathcal{F})$ on a filtered manifold of type \mathfrak{g}_- are all locally isomorphic in the C^∞ (resp C^ω)-category if $\dim \mathcal{G} < \infty$ (resp. $\dim \mathcal{G} = \infty$).

